



Study or Subgroup	NMBA			Control			Weight	Mean Difference		IV, Random, 95% CI [mmHg]
	Mean [mmHg]	SD [mmHg]	Total	Mean [mmHg]	SD [mmHg]	Total		IV, Random, 95% CI [mmHg]	IV, Random, 95% CI [mmHg]	
Moss 2019	83.1	27.2	381	86.5	30.9	348	81.4%	-3.40	[-7.64, 0.84]	
Papazian 2010	93	38	172	96	44	159	18.6%	-3.00	[-11.89, 5.89]	
<b>Total (95% CI)</b>			<b>553</b>			<b>507</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>-3.33</b>	<b>[-7.15, 0.50]</b>	


Heterogeneity: Tau<sup>2</sup> = 0.00; Chi<sup>2</sup> = 0.01, df = 1 (P = 0.94); I<sup>2</sup> = 0%  
 Test for overall effect: Z = 1.70 (P = 0.09)



Study or Subgroup	NMBA			Control			Weight	Mean Difference	
	Mean [mmHg]	SD [mmHg]	Total	Mean [mmHg]	SD [mmHg]	Total		IV, Random, 95% CI [mmHg]	IV, Random, 95% CI [mmHg]
Moss 2019	1.2	27.16	381	4.1	30.19	348	55.8%	-2.90	[-7.08, 1.28]
Papazian 2010	18	33.5	172	11	38.83	159	44.2%	7.00	[-0.84, 14.84]
<b>Total (95% CI)</b>			<b>553</b>			<b>507</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>1.47</b>	<b>[-8.16, 11.11]</b>


Heterogeneity: Tau<sup>2</sup> = 38.72; Chi<sup>2</sup> = 4.77, df = 1 (P = 0.03); I<sup>2</sup> = 79%  
 Test for overall effect: Z = 0.30 (P = 0.76)







Study or Subgroup	NMBA			Control			Weight	Mean Difference		Mean Difference	
	Mean [mmHg]	SD [mmHg]	Total	Mean [mmHg]	SD [mmHg]	Total		IV, Random, 95% CI [mmHg]	IV, Random, 95% CI [mmHg]		
Forel 2006	-4.2	9.96	18	-4	8.63	18	5.6%	-0.20 [-6.29, 5.89]			
Gannier 2004	-1	10.7	28	-0.6	11.5	28	6.1%	-0.40 [-6.22, 5.42]			
Moss 2019	1.5	15.5	381	0.7	13	348	48.3%	0.80 [-1.27, 2.87]			
Papazian 2010	-2	11	172	-3	10.1	159	40.0%	1.00 [-1.27, 3.27]			
<b>Total (95% CI)</b>			<b>599</b>			<b>553</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>0.75 [-0.69, 2.19]</b>			


Heterogeneity: Tau<sup>2</sup> = 0.00; Chi<sup>2</sup> = 0.29, df = 3 (P = 0.96); I<sup>2</sup> = 0%  
 Test for overall effect: Z = 1.02 (P = 0.31)


















Study or Subgroup	NMBA			Control			Weight	Mean Difference IV, Random, 95% CI [cmH2O]	Mean Difference IV, Random, 95% CI [cmH2O]
	Mean [cmH2O]	SD [cmH2O]	Total	Mean [cmH2O]	SD [cmH2O]	Total			
Forel 2006	32.3	5.4	18	33.5	4.2	18	10.0%	-1.20 [-4.36, 1.96]	
Gainnier 2004	33	5.9	28	33.7	6.6	28	9.3%	-0.70 [-3.98, 2.58]	
Moss 2019	29.6	7.4	420	29.9	8.5	377	80.7%	-0.30 [-1.41, 0.81]	
<b>Total (95% CI)</b>			<b>466</b>			<b>423</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>-0.43 [-1.43, 0.57]</b>	

Heterogeneity: Tau<sup>2</sup> = 0.00; Chi<sup>2</sup> = 0.31, df = 2 (P = 0.86); I<sup>2</sup> = 0%  
 Test for overall effect: Z = 0.84 (P = 0.40)











Study or Subgroup	NMBA			Control			Weight	Mean Difference	
	Mean [mL/kg PBW]	SD [mL/kg PBW]	Total	Mean [mL/kg PBW]	SD [mL/kg PBW]	Total		IV, Random, 95% CI [mL/kg PBW]	IV, Random, 95% CI [mL/kg PBW]
Forel 2006	6.6	0.8	18	7.1	0.1	18	17.2%	-0.50	[-0.87, -0.13]
Gannier 2004	7	1	28	7.6	1.5	28	7.1%	-0.60	[-1.27, 0.07]
Moss 2019	5.9	0.8	425	6	0.8	377	41.1%	-0.10	[-0.21, 0.01]
Papazian 2010	6.3	0.8	171	6.3	0.8	159	34.5%	0.00	[-0.17, 0.17]
<b>Total (95% CI)</b>			<b>642</b>			<b>582</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>-0.17</b>	<b>[-0.36, 0.02]</b>

Heterogeneity: Tau<sup>2</sup> = 0.02; Chi<sup>2</sup> = 7.86, df = 3 (P = 0.05); I<sup>2</sup> = 62%  
 Test for overall effect: Z = 1.72 (P = 0.09)





Study or Subgroup	NMBA			Control			Weight	Mean Difference	
	Mean [mL/kg PBW]	SD [mL/kg PBW]	Total	Mean [mL/kg PBW]	SD [mL/kg PBW]	Total		IV, Random, 95% CI [mL/kg PBW]	IV, Random, 95% CI [mL/kg PBW]
Forel 2006	0.1	0.75	18	0.1	0.7	18	4.6%	0.00 [-0.47, 0.47]	
Gannier 2004	-0.1	1.05	28	0.1	1.73	28	1.8%	-0.20 [-0.95, 0.55]	
Moss 2019	-0.4	0.87	425	-0.3	0.89	377	68.7%	-0.10 [-0.22, 0.02]	
Papazian 2010	-0.25	1.01	171	-0.18	0.87	159	24.9%	-0.07 [-0.27, 0.13]	
<b>Total (95% CI)</b>			<b>642</b>			<b>582</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>-0.09 [-0.19, 0.01]</b>	


Heterogeneity: Tau<sup>2</sup> = 0.00; Chi<sup>2</sup> = 0.28, df = 3 (P = 0.96); I<sup>2</sup> = 0%  
 Test for overall effect: Z = 1.74 (P = 0.08)




















Study or Subgroup	NMBA			Control			Weight	Mean Difference		Mean Difference	
	Mean [breath/min]	SD [breath/min]	Total	Mean [breath/min]	SD [breath/min]	Total		IV, Random, 95% CI [breath/min]	IV, Random, 95% CI [breath/min]	Favours [NMBA]	Favours [control]
Gannier 2004	21.6	4.2	28	21.1	5.1	28	7.4%	0.50 [-1.95, 2.95]			
Moss 2019	27.1	6.2	456	27.7	6.7	430	61.2%	-0.60 [-1.45, 0.25]			
Papazian 2010	25	6	171	25	5	159	31.4%	0.00 [-1.19, 1.19]			
<b>Total (95% CI)</b>			<b>655</b>			<b>617</b>	<b>100.0%</b>	<b>-0.33 [-1.00, 0.34]</b>			

Heterogeneity: Tau<sup>2</sup> = 0.00; Chi<sup>2</sup> = 1.12, df = 2 (P = 0.57); I<sup>2</sup> = 0%  
 Test for overall effect: Z = 0.97 (P = 0.33)

