Meta-analyses and randomized	controlled trials on	n perioperative management	t
		- F F	

A. Bowel preparation	Title and author	Comment
Meta-analyses		
Mechanical bowel preparation	for elective colorectal surgery. Guenaga et al.	9 trials / 1592 patients included. Bowel preparation is associated with increased rates of
2005		anastomotic leakage (6.3 vs. 3.2%; p=0.003) and wound complications (7.4 vs. 5.4, not
		significant).
Meta-analysis of randomized	clinical trials of colorectal surgery with or	7 trials / 1454 patients included. Significantly more anastomotic leakage after mechanical
without mechanical bowel prep	paration. Slim et al. 2004	bowel preparation (5.6 vs. 3.2%; p=0.032). No significant difference was found for wound
		infection, other septic complications and non-septic complications.
RCTs		
Mechanical bowel preparation	n for elective colorectal surgery: a multicentre	1431 patients / 13 centres included. No significant difference in anastomosic leakage, septic
randomised trial. Contant et al.	2007	complications and mortality between bowel preparation and no bowel preparation but fewer
		abscesses after anastomotic leakage.
Multicentre randomized clinic	cal trial of mechanical bowel preparation in	1505 patients enrolled. No significant differences in overall complications.
elective colonic resection. Jung	g et al. 2007	
Mechanical bowel preparation	n for elective colorectal surgery with primary	97 patients included. Same or worse outcomes after mechanical bowel preparation
intraperitoneal anastomosis b	by a single surgeon: interim analysis of a	(Anastomotic failure: 8.3 vs. 4.1, not significant).
prospective single-blinded rand	domized trial. Pena-Soria et al. 2007	
Mechanical bowel preparation	or not? Outcome of a multicenter, randomized	250 patients / centres included. No significant difference between groups.
trial in elective open colon surg	gery. Fa-Si-Oen et al. 2005	
Randomized clinical trial or	f mechanical bowel preparation versus no	135 patients included. No bowel preparation is associated with reduced postoperative
preparation before elective left	-sided colorectal surgery. Bucher et al. 2005	morbidity (22 vs. 8%; p=0.028).
Is mechanical bowel preparat	tion mandatory for elective colon surgery? A	329 patients included. No advantage of bowel preparation in elective colorectal surgery.
prospective randomized study.	Ram et a. 2005	

B. Inc	ision Title and author	Comment
Meta-	analyses	
]	ransverse verses midline incisions for abdominal surgery. Brown et al. 2005	No difference in complication rates and recovery times.
RCTs		
F	andomized clinical trial of vertical or transverse laparotomy for abdominal	69 patients included. Incisional hernia is less frequent after transverse incision.
a	ortic aneurysm repair. Fassiadis et al. 2005	
C. An	ibiotic prophylaxis Title and author	Comment

Meta-analyses

Antimicrobial prophylaxis in colorectal surgery: a systematic review of randomized controlled trials. Song et al. 1998 147 trials included. Antibiotic prophylaxis prevents surgical wound infection after colorectal surgery. Single-shot is as effective as long-term postoperative antibiotic prophylaxis. *RCTs*Randomized, multicenter trial of antibiotic prophylaxis in elective colorectal surgery: single dose vs 3 doses of a second-generation cephalosporin without surgical site infections.

metronidazole and oral antibiotics. Fujita et al. 2007

D. Abdominal drain	Title and author	Comment
leta-analyses		
Routine abdominal drainage	for uncomplicated liver resection. Gurusamy et	465 patients / 5 trials included. No significant difference between abdominal drainage vs. no
al. 2007		drainage groups as far as mortality, intra-abdominal collections requiring re-operation,
		infected intra-abdominal collections, wound infection, ascitic leak, and hospital stay are
		concerned.
Evidence-based value of prop	phylactic drainage in gastrointestinal surgery: a	30 trials included. Prophylactic drainage is indicated after esophageal resection and total
systematic review and meta-a	nalyses. Petrowsky et al. 2004	gastrectomy, but not after hepatic, rectal or colonic resection with primary anastomosis and
		appendectomy.
CTs		
Prospective randomized clinic	cal trial of the value of intraperitoneal drainage	179 patients (40 patients receiving distal pancreatectomy) included. No reduction in
after pancreatic resection. Conlon 2001	complication or mortality. No reduced need for interventional drainages and surgical	
		exploration after septic complications.
Randomized clinical trial of	the effects of abdominal drainage after elective	120 patients included. Cirrhosis and abdominal drainage independently correlate to
hepatectomy using the crushin	ng clamp method. Sun et al. 2006	development of postoperative wound complications. No difference in length of
		hospitalisation.

E. Pain management	Title and author	Comment
Meta-analyses		
Meta-analysis of epidural anal	gesia versus parenteral opioid analgesia after	16 trials included. No difference in length of hospitalisation.
colorectal surgery. Marret et al.	2007	
Patient controlled intravenous	opioid analgesia versus continuous epidural	711 patients / 9 studies included. Continuous epidural analgesia is superior in relieving
analgesia for pain after intra-ab	dominal surgery. Werawatganon et al. 2005	postoperative pain but associated with a higher incidence of pruritus.
Efficacy of postoperative patient	nt-controlled and continuous infusion epidural	Continuous epidural infusion significantly is superior in relieving overall pain; higher
analgesia versus intravenous pa	tient-controlled analgesia with opioids: a meta-	incidence of nausea/vomiting and motor block but lower incidence of pruritus.
analysis. Wu et al. 2005		
Epidural local anaesthetics	versus opioid-based analgesic regimens on	Local anaesthetics decrease gastrointestinal paralaysis with comparable postoperative pain
postoperative gastrointestinal	paralysis, PONV and pain after abdominal	relief compared to regimens using opioids.
surgery. Jørgensen et al. 2000		
RCTs		
Pain relief and safety after majo	or surgery. A prospective study of epidural and	2696 patients included. Less pain after epidural analgesia; opioid related side-effects more
intravenous analgesia in 2696 p	atients. Flisberg et al. 2003	common with intravenous morphine analgesia.
Comparison of intravenous or	epidural patient-controlled analgesia in the	70 patients included. Epidural patient controlled anaesthesia using local anaesthetics and an
elderly after major abdominal s	urgery. Mann et al. 2000	opioid provides better pain relief and improves mental status and bowel activity compared to
		intravenous application.

F. Gastric t	tube Title and author	Comment
Meta-analy	vses	
	Prophylactic nasogastric decompression after abdominal surgery.	5240 patients / 33 trials included. Earlier return of bowel function, decreased pulmonary
	Nelson et al. 2007	complications and shorter hospitalisation without gastric tube.
-	Systematic review of prophylactic nasogastric decompression after	4194 patients / 28 trials included. Earlier return of bowel function without gastric tube.
	abdominal operations. Nelson et al. 2005	
RCTs		
	Randomized clinical trial evaluating the need for routine nasogastric	200 patients included. No advantage but increased risk of pulmonary complications in
	decompression after elective hepatic resection. Pesseaux et al. 2007	nasogastric tube group.

G. Postoperative feeding	Title and author	Comment
Meta-analyses		
Early enteral nutrition with	thin 24h of colorectal surgery versus later	1173 patients / 13 trials included. No significant advantage in keeping patients starved after
commencement of feeding	for postoperative complications. Andersen et al.	gastrointestinal surgery.
2006		
RCTs		
Randomized clinical trial	of the impact of early enteral feeding on	128 patients included. No significant difference between early (median 2) vs. conventional
postoperative ileus and recov	rery. Han-Geurts et al. 2007	(median 5) return to oral diet.
H. Patient mobilisation	Title and author	Comment
RCTs		
The quantity of early up	right mobilisation performed following upper	50 patients included. Increased early upright mobilisation may have positive effect on
abdominal surgery is low: an	observational study. Browning et al. 2007	reduced length of hospitalisation.
Randomised clinical trial of	physiotherapy after open abdominal surgery in	56 patients included. In high risk patients, deep breathing and coughing exercises do not
Randomised clinical trial of high risk patients. Mackay et	physiotherapy after open abdominal surgery in al. 2005	56 patients included. In high risk patients, deep breathing and coughing exercises do not significantly decrease postoperative pulmonary complications.
Randomised clinical trial of high risk patients. Mackay et Randomized controlled tria	physiotherapy after open abdominal surgery in al. 2005 I of prophylactic chest physiotherapy in major	 56 patients included. In high risk patients, deep breathing and coughing exercises do not significantly decrease postoperative pulmonary complications. 366 patients included. Preoperative chest physiotherapy significantly decreased

I. Somatostatin	Title and author	Comment
Meta-analyses		
Use of octreotide	for the prevention of pancreatic fistula after elective	1359 patients / 7 trials included. Somatostatin significantly reduces incidence of pancreatic
pancreatic surgery:	a systematic review and meta-analysis. Alghamdi et al.	fistula after elective pancreatic surgery. No significant difference in mortality rates.
2007		
Efficacy of somato	ostatin and its analogues in prevention of postoperative	8 studies included. Somatostatin does not significantly reduce postoperative complications
complications after	pancreaticoduodenectomy: a meta-analysis of randomized	after pancreaticoduodenectomy.
controlled trials. Zet	ng et al. 2008	
Meta-analysis of th	ne value of somatostatin and its analogues in reducing	1918 patients / 10 trials included. Somatostatin reduces postoperative complications but not
complications assoc	iated with pancreatic surgery. Connor et al. 2005	mortality.
RCTs		
Prospectively rando	omized trial using perioperative low-dose octreotide to	105 patients included. No significant difference in occurrence of pancreatic fistula and
prevent organ-relate	ed and general complications after pancreatic surgery and	overall morbidity and mortality in somatostatin vs. no somatostatin group.
pancreatico-jejunos	tomy. Hesse et al. 2005	
Effects of so	matostatin prophylaxis after pylorus-preserving	23 patients included. Delayed gastric emptying more frequent after somatostatin
pancreaticoduodene	ectomy: increased delayed gastric emptying and reduced	prophylaxis.
plasma motilin. Sha	n et al. 2005	
Somatostatin analog	gues in the prevention of pancreas-related complications	381 patients included. No benefit of perioperative somatostatin administration.
after pancreatic rese	ection. Ramos-De la Medina et al. 2006	