7 c	ONSO	ORT 2010 checklist of information to include when reporting a randomised	trial*
Section/Topic	Item No	Checklist item	Reported on page No
Title and abstract	1a 1b	Identification as a randomised trial in the title Structured summary of trial design, methods, results, and conclusions (or reports putanos use COMSORT for abstracts)	Title page 5 (Box 1)
Introduction Background and	2a	Scientific background and explanation of rationale	5 (Box 1)
objectives Methods Trial design	2b 3a	Specific objectives or hypotheses Description of trial design (such as parallel, factorial) including allocation ratio	5 (Box 1) 5 (Box 1)
Participants	3b 4a	Important changes to methods after trial commencement (such as eligibility criteria), with reasons Flicibility criteria for patricipants	N/A 8
Interventions	4b 5	Settings and locations where the data were collected. The interventions for each group with sufficient details to allow replication, including how and when they were actually administered.	7, 8, 10 5 (Box 1)
Outcomes	6a	-Cucardian demonstration of the second secon	Reported in main trial paper (Lutge et al. Economic support to improve tuberculosis treatment
			outcomes in South Africa: a pragmatic cluster- randomized controlled trial. Trials 2013;, 14:154 doi:10.1186/1
Sample size	6b 7a	Any changes to trial outcomes after the trial commenced, with reasons How sample size was determined	745-6215-14- 154. N/A Reported in paper published in
			Trials, reference above
Randomisation: Sequence	7b 8a	When applicable, explanation of any interim analyses and stopping guidelines Method used to generate the random allocation sequence	N/A Reported in
generation	oa	interval Labora su generales ser servales sociation sociation sociation.	paper published in Trials, reference above
	8b	Type of randomisation; details of any restriction (such as blocking and block size)	Reported in paper published in Trials, reference
Allocation concealment mechanism	9	Mechanism used to implement the random allocation sequence (such as sequentially numbered containers), describing any steps taken to conceal the sequence until interventions were assigned	Reported in paper published in Trials, reference
Implementation	10	Who generated the random allocation sequence, who enrolled participants, and who assigned participants to interventions	Reported in paper published in Trials, reference
Blinding	11a	If done, who was blinded after assignment to interventions (for example, participants, care providers, those essessing outcomes) and now	above Reported in paper published in Trials, reference
Statistical methods	11b 12a	If relevant, description of the similarity of interventions Statistical methods used to compare groups for primary and secondary outcomes	N/A Reported in
000000			paper published in Trials, reference above
	12b	Methods for additional analyses, such as subgroup analyses and adjusted analyses	Reported in paper published in Trials, reference above
Results Participant flow (a diagram is strongly recommended)	13a 13b	For each group, the numbers of participants who were randomly assigned, received intended treatment, and were analyzed for the primary outcome. For each group, losses and exclusions after randomisation, together with reasons.	See consort diagram See consort diagram
Recruitment Baseline data	14a 14b 15	Dates defining the periods of recruitment and follow-up Why the trial ended or was stopped A table showing baseline demographic and clinical characteristics for each group	8 Reported in
Dasellie Gala	10	A laute streaming leasest or central dates and central cultimated to executions and execution and group	peper published in Trials, reference
Numbers analysed	16	For each group, number of participants (denominator) included in each analysis and whether the analysis was by original assigned groups	Reported in paper published in Trials, reference
Outcomes and estimation	17a	For each primary and secondary outcome, results for each group, and the estimated effect size and its precision (such as 95% confidence interval)	Reported in paper published in Trials,
	17b	For binary outcomes, presentation of both absolute and relative effect sizes is recommended	Reported in paper published in Trials,
Ancillary analyses	18	Results of any other analyses performed, including subgroup analyses and adjusted analyses, distinguishing pre-specified from exploratory	Reported in paper published in
Harms	19	All important harms or unintended effects in each group (or quelle palanes we COMORT to have)	Trials, reference above Reported in paper published in Trials,
Discussion Limitations	20	Trial limitations, addressing sources of potential bias, imprecision, and, if relevant, multiplicity of analyses	Reported in paper published in
Generalisability	21	Generalisability (external validity, applicability) of the trial findings	Trials, reference above Reported in paper published in
Interpretation	22	Interpretation consistent with results, balancing benefits and harms, and considering other relevant evidence	Trials, reference above Reported in
Other information			published in Trials, reference above
Registration Protocol Funding	23 24 25	Registration number and name of trial registry Where the full trial protocol can be accessed, if available Sources of funding and other support (such as supply of drugs), role of funders	3,11 3 27
"We sensy recommend reading the sentence in conjunction with the CONSORT 2000 Exclusion and Ethiconics for important challenines on all the items. If relevant, we also recommend exalting CONSORT conscious for extern antendenic thinks, and exclusivings and equivalent thin, more plannessfully obstanters, both districted interventions, and pragratic trials. Additional extensions are forthcoming, for those and for up to date references relevant to this checklist, we gave connected interventions.			