Tables

Table 1 – Baseline characteristics

	Face-forward	Face-down
	positioning (n=)	positioning (n=)
Age (years) – median (IQR)		
Male – no. (%)		
Ethnicity – no. (%)		
White		
Black		
Asian		
Mixed		
Other		
Laterality – Left Side – no. (%)		
Duration of symptoms (months) – median (IQR)		
BCVA (Best-Corrected Visual Acuity) – median		
(IQR)		
Lens Status – Phakic – no (%)		
Macular hole diameter on OCT – median (IQR)		
Quality of life VFQ-25 – median (IQR)		

Table 2 – Main results for primary outcomes

	Face-forward	Face-down	Odds Ratio (95% CI)	P-value
	positioning (n=)	positioning (n=)		
Successful closure of				
macular hole - n (%)				

Table 3 – Main results for dichotomous secondary outcomes

	Face-forward	Face-down	Odds Ratio (95%	P-value
	positioning	positioning	CI)	
	(n=)	(n=)		
Further surgery for				
macular holes,				
performed or planned,				
of those with				
unsuccessful closure of				
macular hole –n(%)				
Dichotomised Patient-				
reported experience of				
positioning at 3				
months- n(%)				
Patient reported				
outcome, if they would				
still have elected to				
have the operation				
Yes – n(%)				
Yes/Don't know				
(sensitivity analysis)				
- n(%)				

Table 4 – Main results for transformed continuous secondary outcomes

	Face-forward	Face-down	Regression	P-value
	positioning (n=)	positioning	coefficient (95% CI)	
		(n=)		
LogMAR conversion of				
Best corrected visual				
acuity (BCVA) using				
standard Snellen chart				
at 6m at 3 months -				
mean (sd)				
Logistic transformation				
of Patient-reported				
health and quality of				
life as assessed at 3				
months using National				
Eye Institute Visual				
Function Questionnaire				
(VFQ-25) – mean (sd)				

Table 5- Main results for untransformed secondary outcomes

	Face-forward	Face-down
	positioning (n=)	positioning (n=)
Best-corrected Visual Acuity (BCVA) using		
standard Snellen chart at 6m at 3 months -		
median (IQR)		
Patient-reported health and quality of life		
as assessed at 3 months using National Eye		
Institute Visual Function Questionnaire		
(VFQ-25) – median (IQR)		
Patient-reported experience of positioning		
at 3 months- median (IQR)		

Table 6 – Patient reported outcome, if they would still have elected to have the operation

	Face-forward	Face-down
	positioning (n=)	positioning (n=)
Yes – n(%)		
No - n(%)		
Don't know - n(%)		
Missing - n(%)		

Table 7 – Combined surgery (removal of patient's lens as a prophylactic procedure) by site.

Site	Combined surgery (n(%)
	in total)
Site A – n(%)	
Site B – n(%)	
Site C – n(%)	
Site D – n(%)	
Site E –n(%)	
Site F –n(%)	
Site G -n(%)	
Site H –n(%)	
Site I -n(%)	

Table 8 – Number of participants with non-missing data

Outcome	Face-forward	Face-down
	positioning (n=.)	positioning
		(n=.)
Further surgery for macular holes, performed or		
planned, of those with unsuccessful closure of macular		
hole -n(%)		
Best-corrected visual acuity (BCVA) measured using		
Snellen charts at a standard distance of 6 metres– n(%)		
Patient-reported experience of positioning at 3 months –		
n(%)		
Patient-reported health and quality of life as assessed at		
baseline and at 3 months using National Eye Institute		
Visual Function Questionnaire (VFQ-25) – n(%)		
Patient reported outcome, if they would still have		
elected to have the operation – n(%)		

Table 9 – Number of patients who experience complications in surgery and number of patients who with complications who withdrew from the trial, by treatment arm.

	Face-forward	Face-down
	positioning (n=.)	positioning
		(n=.)
Number of patients with complications during surgery		
Number of patient with complications during surgery		
who then withdrew from the trial		

Table 10 – Number of Patients who experienced a Serious Adverse Event, by treatment arm and if this event was expected or unexpected.

	Face-forward	Face-down
	positioning (n=.)	positioning
		(n=.)
Number of patients who experienced a Serious Adverse		
Event which was expected		
Number of patients who experienced a Serious Adverse		
Event which was not expected		

Table 11 – The number of patients for each BCVA category, and the corresponding conversion, by treatment arm.

	Face-forward	Face-down
	positioning (n=.)	positioning (n=.)
Counting fingers (CF) substituted for 2.10		
Hand movement (HM) substituted for 2.40		
Perception of light (PL) substituted for 2.70		
No perception of light (NPL) substituted for 3.00		