| Assessing researcher: (1) | (2) | (3) |
|-----------------------------|-----|-----|
| Assessed Main facilitator: | | |
| Assistant facilitators: (1) | (2) | (3) |
| Date: | | |
| Venue: | | |
| Number of participants: | | |

Table 1. Coverage of messages delivery strategies by facilitators

| Stage of | Message delivery strategies (please tick in the [] if it is covered) | Times | Time | *Discrepa |
|---------------|---|-----------|-------|-----------|
| Change | | Allocated | Used | -ncy |
| | | (Min) | (Min) | (Min) |
| Pre- | □ Set up the venue before the program begin | Preset | | |
| contemplation | □ Registration of participants | | | |
| | Pre-test | 15 | | |
| | □ Ice breaking 1:Nametag | 15 | | |
| | □ Ice breaking 2 with subsequent group assignment: Sociogram | 10 | | |
| | □ Prevalence of T2DM and general medication adherence problems | 5 | | |
| | 🗆 "Harapan Anda" | 5 | | |
| | UWelcoming video by Deputy State Health Director (Pharmacy) dan Ustaz Mohd Ihsan | 5 | | |
| Contemplation | Group members sharing (World Café) on their attitude and belief on taking medications through | 10 | | |
| | writing it down on a mahjong paper with assistance of facilitators. Four questions will be asked: | | | |
| | (1) What is Type 2 Diabetes Mellitus (T2DM)? | | | |
| | (2) What is the symptoms of T2DM? | | | |
| | (3) What is the treatment for T2DM? | | | |
| | (4) What are the myths and facts about medications for T2DM? | | | |

| | □ Facilitator go through the first question, "what is the T2DM?" | 5 | | |
|-------------|---|----------------|---------------|------------|
| | □ Facilitator go through the second question, "what is the symptoms of T2DM? | 5 | | |
| | □ Facilitator go through the third question, "what is the treatment for T2DM?" | 20 | | |
| | □ 15 minutes' break for participants. At the same time facilitators will distribute the medications l | belonged to th | e participant | s that had |
| | been dispensed by outpatient pharmacy department of HCPJ/HCKS. | | | |
| | □ Facilitator go through the forth question, "Myths and Facts about medication for T2DM" | 15 | | |
| Preparation | □ Introduction of value-added services provided by government to address transportation problem and inconveniences faced by patients to obtain continuous medicine supply | 5 | | |
| | □ How to read the medicine label and the proper way of keeping medicines | 5 | | |
| | □ Brief participants on the use of the medication chart | 15 | | |
| | □ Ask participants to write down all the medications they have on the chart with the dosage | | | |
| | regimen. | | | |
| | \Box Ask participants to identify the types of medications which have problem to adhere and discuss | | | |
| | with the group on the method they want to take to improve it. | | | |
| Action | □ Simulation of taking medicines according to the medication chart prepared | 10 | | |
| Maintenance | □ Present video clips that show the message delivered by (1) Dr in charge of Sarawak Traditional | 5 | | |
| | and Complementary Medicine Division about the importance of taking medication as prescribed | | | |
| | and (2) Religion leader Ustaz Mohd Ihsan | | | |
| | □ Tree of knowledge | 10 | | |
| | □ Post test | 20 | | |
| | Total time taken | 180 | | |
| | | | | |

* Total discrepancies must be less than 90%

Facilitator Competency Assessment

| Name of facilitator: | | (Main / Assistant) | |
|---------------------------|-----|--------------------|--|
| Assessing researcher: (1) | (2) | (3) | |

Table 2. Facilitator Competency Assessment (Gottleib 2000; Soto 2004; Jones 2004)

| Criteria** | Comments (Yes/No with justification) |
|---|--------------------------------------|
| (1) The facilitator acknowledges the concerns of patients. | |
| (2) The facilitator discusses with patients on their concerns. | |
| (3) The facilitator h andles concerns of patients promptly. | |
| (4) The facilitator assesses patients' health literacy and identify possible barriers to adherence. | |
| (5) The facilitator suggests appropriate and feasible solution to the patient. | |
| (6) The facilitator elicits patients' willingness to adhere with medications for long-term. | |
| (7) The facilitator adopts friendly attitude and not business-like attitude | |
| (8) The facilitator avoids medical jargon | |
| (9) The facilitator uses short sentences | |
| (10) The facilitator gives clear instruction and repeat the instructions | |
| (11) The facilitator gives specific and detailed information | |
| (12) The facilitator ensures the patients understand by asking them to repeat what had been said | |
| (13) The facilitator always show genuine concern through nonverbal communication (eye contact and nodding head) | |

** Must fulfil at least 90% of the criteria

Consistency of Main/Assistant Facilitators

| Name of facilitator: | | (Main / Assistant) |) |
|---------------------------|-----|--------------------|---|
| Assessing researcher: (1) | (2) | (3) | |

Based on **previous three (3) sessions of the program** conducted by the main facilitator together with the assistant facilitators, please evaluate their consistency on time control and competency.

Total time taken to conduct the program:

1st session: _____ minutes

2nd session: _____ minutes

3rd session: _____ minutes

| Criteria | Comments (Yes/No with justification) | Percentage of discrepancy*** |
|---|--------------------------------------|---------------------------------|
| Time taken to conduct each session of the program is within the allowance | | |
| Time taken to conduct each session of the program is consistent | | |
| The competency of the main/assistant facilitator is consistent (Based on the Facilitator Competency Assessment) | | |

*** Discrepancy on time of each session must be less than 10%

Comments by researcher:

Recommendation(s):

Inter-coder Reliability Assessment

Table 4. Comparison on the evaluation of different researchers on the competency and consistency of facilitators

| | Part A: | Part B: | Part C: | Part D: | Part E: |
|----------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------------|---------------|----------------|
| | Evaluation on | Evaluation on time | Evaluation on total | Evaluation on | Evaluation on |
| | coverage of message | used to cover each | discrepancy on time | facilitator | consistency of |
| | delivery strategies | part of message | | competency | facilitators |
| | | delivery strategies | | assessment | |
| 1 st Researcher | | | | | |
| 2 nd Researcher | | | | | |
| 3 rd Researcher | | | | | |
| ****Discrepancies | | | | | |

**** Total discrepancies should be less than 10%

Name of researchers who evaluate the facilitators' competency and consistency:

(1)_____

(2) _____

(3)_____

Inter-Coder Reliability Result: _____% (Not less than 90%)

| Discrepancies on Part A/B/C/D/E | Discussion | Agreement after discussion |
|---------------------------------|------------|----------------------------|
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |
| | | |

Table 5. Discussion on the aspects that cause the inter-coder reliability to be less than 90% (if applicable):

Final Inter-Coder Reliability Result: _____% (Not less than 90%)