Compositional variation of the human fecal microbiome in

relation to azo-reducing activity: a pilot study

Sara A. Zahran¹, Marwa Ali-Tammam¹, Amal E. Ali¹, Ramy K. Aziz^{2,3,4*}

¹Department of Microbiology and Immunology, Faculty of Pharmacy, Future University in Egypt, 11835 New Cairo, Egypt

²Department of Microbiology and Immunology, Faculty of Pharmacy, Cairo University, 11562 Cairo, Egypt
 ³The Center for Genome and Microbiome Research, Faculty of Pharmacy, Cairo University, 11562 Cairo, Egypt
 ⁴Microbiology and Immunology Research Program, Children's Cancer Hospital Egypt 57357, 11617 Cairo, Egypt
 * Correspondence: <u>E-mail</u>: ramy.aziz@gmail.com

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

Content:

Additional file 1 (this file):

Figure S1: Total azoreduction by stool samples.

Figure S2: Log-scaled boxplots of the *Prevotella*-to-*Bacteroides* ratio among the three grades of azo-reduction.

Figure S3: Heatmap visualization of hierarchical clustering of the gut microbiota composition at the phylum level.

Figure S4: Boxplots comparing alpha diversity metrics in males and females.

Figure S5: Boxplots comparing alpha diversity metrics among different BMI groups.

Supplementary Tables: Multivariate analysis with partial-least squares (PLS) regression of different covariates *vs.* key phyla relative abundance (S1), gut microbiome biomarker ratios (S2), and alpha diversity metrics (S3).

Additional data files:

- Additional file 2: QIIME output in Biological Observation Matrix (biom) data format for all samples
- Additional file 3: An Excel file with multiple sheets, representing relative abundance of different taxa (phyla, classes, order, family, genera)

Figure S1:



Total azoreduction by stool samples. Line plots representing the decrease in absorbance *vs.* time by different stool samples incubated with 0.06M Brilliant Black for 10 hours. Absorbance was measured hourly. Different samples (n = 16) are shown in different colors, and the corresponding negative control (blank) is shown in a black line with solid circles. Different azo-reduction grades are shown in different shapes (open circles = grade zero; open triangles = grade one; open squares = grade two).

Figure S2:



Boxplots of the *Prevotella***-to**-*Bacteroides* **ratio among the three groups of samples**, based on their azo-reducing activity, represented on a log-scale (Log 10) to emphasize the difference between low ratios (see Figure 2C for a linear scale, in context of other variables).

Figure S3:



Heatmap visualization of hierarchical clustering of the gut microbiota composition at the phylum level. Samples are categorized according to different criteria and arranged according to their azo-reducing activity. Colors on top of the heatmap represent the azo-reducing activity to which samples belong, subject sex and subject BMI category. Heatmap color (blue to dark red) displays the row-scaled relative abundance of each taxon across all samples. Clustering was based on Euclidean distances. The figure was generated by *MicrobiomeAnalyst* (URL: https://www.microbiomeanalyst.ca)

Figure S4:



Boxplots comparing alpha diversity metrics in males and females. Alpha diversity metrics (observed OTUs, Chao1, Shannon and Simpson indices) are compared between both sexes. No significant differences were observed (Mann Whitney test *p*-value > 0.05).

Figure S5:



Boxplots comparing alpha diversity metrics among BMI groups. Observed OTUs, Chao1, Shannon and Simpson indices are compared in different BMI groups. No significant differences were observed. Mann Whitney test *p*-values are shown above each plot.

<u>**Table S1**</u>: Multivariate analysis with partial least squares (PLS) regression of composite variables *vs.* the **relative abundance of the main gut phyla**: Actinobacteria, Bacteroidetes, Firmicutes, and Proteobacteria

Independent Variables	Dependent variable: Firmicutes			
independent variables	ANOVA <i>p</i> -value	Regression <i>p</i> -value	R squared	
Azo-reducing activity	0.0081*	0.0252*	0.6786	
Gender	0.1968			
BMI	0.4319			
Age	0.1423			
Indonondont Variables	Dependent variable: Bacteroidetes			
independent variables	ANOVA <i>p</i> -value	Regression <i>p</i> -value	R squared	
Azo-reducing activity	0.0007***		0.8221	
Gender	0.0087**	0.0016**		
BMI	0.0451*	0.0010		
Age	0.0592			
Indonandant Variablas	Depende	nt variable: Actinobacteri	a	
Independent Variables	Depende ANOVA <i>p</i> -value	nt variable: Actinobacteri Regression <i>p</i> -value	a R squared	
Independent Variables Azo-reducing activity	Depende ANOVA <i>p</i> -value 0.8399	nt variable: Actinobacteri Regression <i>p</i> -value	a R squared	
Independent Variables Azo-reducing activity Gender	Depende ANOVA p-value 0.8399 0.507	nt variable: Actinobacteri Regression <i>p</i> -value	a R squared	
Independent Variables Azo-reducing activity Gender BMI	Depende ANOVA p-value 0.8399 0.507 0.0215*	nt variable: Actinobacteri Regression <i>p</i> -value 0.1289	a R squared 0.5294	
Independent Variables Azo-reducing activity Gender BMI Age	Depende ANOVA p-value 0.8399 0.507 0.0215* 0.0148*	nt variable: Actinobacteri Regression <i>p</i> -value 0.1289	a R squared 0.5294	
Independent Variables Azo-reducing activity Gender BMI Age Independent Variables	Depende ANOVA p-value 0.8399 0.507 0.0215* 0.0148* Depende	nt variable: Actinobacteri Regression <i>p</i> -value 0.1289 nt variable: Proteobacteri	a R squared 0.5294 a	
Independent Variables Azo-reducing activity Gender BMI Age Independent Variables	Depende ANOVA p-value 0.8399 0.507 0.0215* 0.0148* Depende ANOVA p-value	nt variable: Actinobacteri Regression <i>p</i> -value 0.1289 nt variable: Proteobacteri Regression <i>p</i> -value	a R squared 0.5294 a R squared	
Independent Variables Azo-reducing activity Gender BMI Age Independent Variables Azo-reducing activity	Depende ANOVA p-value 0.8399 0.507 0.0215* 0.0148* Depende ANOVA p-value 0.8722	nt variable: Actinobacteri Regression <i>p</i> -value 0.1289 nt variable: Proteobacteri Regression <i>p</i> -value	a R squared 0.5294 a R squared	
Independent Variables Azo-reducing activity Gender BMI Age Independent Variables Azo-reducing activity Gender	Depende ANOVA p-value 0.8399 0.507 0.0215* 0.0148* Depende ANOVA p-value 0.8722 0.4841	nt variable: Actinobacteri Regression <i>p</i> -value 0.1289 nt variable: Proteobacteri Regression <i>p</i> -value	a R squared 0.5294 a R squared 0.4052	
Independent VariablesAzo-reducing activityGenderBMIAgeIndependent VariablesAzo-reducing activityGenderBMI	Depende ANOVA p-value 0.8399 0.507 0.0215* 0.0148* Depende ANOVA p-value 0.8722 0.4841 0.3507	nt variable: Actinobacteri Regression <i>p</i> -value 0.1289 nt variable: Proteobacteri Regression <i>p</i> -value 0.316	a R squared 0.5294 a R squared 0.4052	

* p< 0.05, ** p<0.01, *** p<0.001

<u>**Table S2</u>**: Multivariate analysis with partial least squares (PLS) regression of composite variables *vs.* various **gut microbiome biomarkers** (Firmicutes-to-Bacteroidetes, *Prevotella*-to-*Bacteroides* and *Fusobacterium*-to-*Bifidobacterium* ratios).</u>

Independent Variables	Dependent variable: Firmicutes-to-Bacteroidetes			
independent variables	ANOVA <i>p</i> -value	Regression <i>p</i> -value	R squared	
Azo-reducing activity	0.0045**	0.0148*	0.7146	
Gender	0.0768			
BMI	0.2514			
Age	0.1507			
Indonendent Versiehler	Dependent variable: Prevotella-to-Bacteroides			
independent variables	ANOVA <i>p</i> -value	Regression <i>p</i> -value	R squared	
Azo-reducing activity	0.3915	0.2286	0.4553	
Gender	0.0507#			
BMI	0.8499			
Age	0.5666			
Indonandant Variablas	Dependent variable: Fusobacterium-to-Bifidobacterium			
independent variables	ANOVA <i>p</i> -value	Regression <i>p</i> -value	R squared	
Azo-reducing activity	0.2026	0.2723	0.4292	
Gender	0.3171			
BMI	0.7898			
Age	0.3402			

* p< 0.05, ** p<0.01 & # marginal significance

Independent Variables	Dependent variable: Observed OTUs			
independent variables	ANOVA <i>p</i> -value	Regression <i>p</i> -value	R squared	
Azo-reducing activity	0.3674	0.1335	0.5253	
Gender	0.1381			
BMI	0.6843			
Age	0.0949			
Inden anden 4 Mariahlan	Dependent variable: Chao1 Index			
independent variables	ANOVA <i>p</i> -value	Regression <i>p</i> -value	R squared	
Azo-reducing activity	0.5729		0.4902	
Gender	0.4099	0 1773		
BMI	0.8183	0.1772		
Age	0.0414*			
Inden en den 4 Veniekleg	Dependent variable: Shannon Index			
Indonandant Variables				
Independent Variables	ANOVA <i>p</i> -value	Regression <i>p</i> -value	R squared	
Independent Variables Azo-reducing activity	ANOVA <i>p</i> -value 0.086	Regression <i>p</i> -value	R squared	
Independent VariablesAzo-reducing activityGender	ANOVA <i>p</i> -value 0.086 0.5341	Regression <i>p</i> -value	R squared	
Independent Variables Azo-reducing activity Gender BMI	ANOVA <i>p</i> -value 0.086 0.5341 0.4843	Regression <i>p</i> -value	R squared 0.4313	
Independent VariablesAzo-reducing activityGenderBMIAge	ANOVA <i>p</i> -value 0.086 0.5341 0.4843 0.3601	Regression <i>p</i> -value	R squared	
Independent Variables Azo-reducing activity Gender BMI Age Independent Variables	ANOVA <i>p</i> -value 0.086 0.5341 0.4843 0.3601 Depende	Regression <i>p</i> -value 0.2686 nt variable: Simpson Inde	R squared 0.4313	
Independent VariablesAzo-reducing activityGenderBMIAgeIndependent Variables	ANOVA <i>p</i> -value 0.086 0.5341 0.4843 0.3601 Depende ANOVA <i>p</i> -value	Regression <i>p</i> -value 0.2686 nt variable: Simpson Inde Regression <i>p</i> -value	R squared 0.4313 x R squared	
Independent VariablesAzo-reducing activityGenderBMIAgeIndependent VariablesAzo-reducing activity	ANOVA <i>p</i> -value 0.086 0.5341 0.4843 0.3601 Depende ANOVA <i>p</i> -value 0.0329*	Regression <i>p</i> -value 0.2686 nt variable: Simpson Inde Regression <i>p</i> -value	R squared 0.4313 x R squared	
Independent VariablesAzo-reducing activityGenderBMIAgeIndependent VariablesAzo-reducing activityGender	ANOVA <i>p</i> -value 0.086 0.5341 0.4843 0.3601 Depende ANOVA <i>p</i> -value 0.0329* 0.2985	Regression <i>p</i> -value 0.2686 nt variable: Simpson Inde Regression <i>p</i> -value	R squared 0.4313 x R squared	
Independent VariablesAzo-reducing activityGenderBMIAgeIndependent VariablesAzo-reducing activityGenderBMI	ANOVA <i>p</i> -value 0.086 0.5341 0.4843 0.3601 Depende ANOVA <i>p</i> -value 0.0329* 0.2985 0.2127	Regression <i>p</i> -value 0.2686 nt variable: Simpson Inde Regression <i>p</i> -value 0.0978	R squared 0.4313 x R squared 0.56	

Table S3: Multivariate analysis with partial least squares (PLS) regression of composite variables *vs.* **alpha diversity indices** at the genus level (Observed OTUs, Chao1, Shannon, and Simpson).

* p< 0.05