## PRISMA-P 2015 Checklist

This checklist has been adapted for use with protocol submissions to *Systematic Reviews* from Table 3 in Moher D et al: Preferred reporting items for systematic review and meta-analysis protocols (PRISMA-P) 2015 statement. *Systematic Reviews* 2015 **4**:1

	Checklist item	Informatio	Line	
#		Yes	No	number(s)
FORMA	TION			
1a	Identify the report as a protocol of a systematic review			1-2
1b	If the protocol is for an update of a previous systematic review, identify as such			
2	If registered, provide the name of the registry (e.g., PROSPERO) and registration number in the Abstract			58
За	Provide name, institutional affiliation, and e-mail address of all protocol authors; provide physical mailing address of corresponding author			18-28
3b	Describe contributions of protocol authors and identify the guarantor of the review			305-308
4	If the protocol represents an amendment of a previously completed or published protocol, identify as such and list changes; otherwise, state plan for documenting important protocol amendments			133-134
5a	Indicate sources of financial or other support for the review			311-318
5b	Provide name for the review funder and/or sponsor			
5c	Describe roles of funder(s), sponsor(s), and/or institution(s), if any, in developing the protocol			311-317
6	Describe the rationale for the review in the context of what is already known			64-92
7	Provide an explicit statement of the question(s) the review will address with reference to participants, interventions, comparators, and outcomes (PICO)			128-134
	1a 1b 2 3a 3b 4 5a 5b 5c	1b If the protocol is for an update of a previous systematic review, identify as such   2 If registered, provide the name of the registry (e.g., PROSPERO) and registration number in the Abstract   3a Provide name, institutional affiliation, and e-mail address of all protocol authors; provide physical mailing address of corresponding author   3b Describe contributions of protocol authors and identify the guarantor of the review   4 If the protocol represents an amendment of a previously completed or published protocol, identify as such and list changes; otherwise, state plan for documenting important protocol amendments   5a Indicate sources of financial or other support for the review   5b Provide name for the review funder and/or sponsor   5c Describe roles of funder(s), sponsor(s), and/or institution(s), if any, in developing the protocol   6 Describe the rationale for the review in the context of what is already known	1a Identify the report as a protocol of a systematic review Image: Systematic review Image	1a Identify the report as a protocol of a systematic review Image: Content in the image: Cont

The Open Access Publisher

Section/topic	#	# Checklist item	Information reported		Line
	Ħ		Yes	No	number(s)
METHODS					
Eligibility criteria	8	Specify the study characteristics (e.g., PICO, study design, setting, time frame) and report characteristics (e.g., years considered, language, publication status) to be used as criteria for eligibility for the review			137-145
nformation sources	9	Describe all intended information sources (e.g., electronic databases, contact with study authors, trial registers, or other grey literature sources) with planned dates of coverage			154-160
Search strategy	10	Present draft of search strategy to be used for at least one electronic database, including planned limits, such that it could be repeated			149-154
STUDY RECORDS					
Data management	11a	Describe the mechanism(s) that will be used to manage records and data throughout the review			158-184
Selection process	11b	State the process that will be used for selecting studies (e.g., two independent reviewers) through each phase of the review (i.e., screening, eligibility, and inclusion in meta-analysis)			158-184
Data collection process	11c	Describe planned method of extracting data from reports (e.g., piloting forms, done independently, in duplicate), any processes for obtaining and confirming data from investigators			158-184
Data items	12	List and define all variables for which data will be sought (e.g., PICO items, funding sources), any pre-planned data assumptions and simplifications			158-184
Outcomes and prioritization	13	List and define all outcomes for which data will be sought, including prioritization of main and additional outcomes, with rationale			128-134
Risk of bias in ndividual studies	14	Describe anticipated methods for assessing risk of bias of individual studies, including whether this will be done at the outcome or study level, or both; state how this information will be used in data synthesis			186-193
DATA					
Synthesis	15a	Describe criteria under which study data will be quantitatively synthesized			137-145
	15b	If data are appropriate for quantitative synthesis, describe planned summary measures, methods of handling data, and methods of combining data from studies, including any planned exploration of consistency (e.g., <i>I</i> <sup>2</sup> , Kendall's tau)			296-223
	15c	Describe any proposed additional analyses (e.g., sensitivity or subgroup analyses, meta- regression)			296-223
	15d	If quantitative synthesis is not appropriate, describe the type of summary planned			
Meta-bias(es)	16	Specify any planned assessment of meta-bias(es) (e.g., publication bias across studies, selective			237-246



Section/topic	#	Checklist item	Information reported		Line
			Yes	No	number(s)
		reporting within studies)			
Confidence in cumulative evidence	17	Describe how the strength of the body of evidence will be assessed (e.g., GRADE)			190-193

