Short acting bronchodilators for the inpatient management of COPD.

Study quality assessment & data extraction form

Reviewers	Name:							
Date of for	m completion:							
RevMan Study ID								
multiple public	eferences identified in	References to this Trial in searches. If there are further restudy) link the papers now & list but in RevMan.	•					
Code each		References						
paper	Format: [Surname] [initial], (etc). [Year of publication title]; [volume] [(issue)]: [page notes]						
		Methods:						
		Furthe	er details					
Country:								
Design: (e.g.,	RCT)							
Objective: (Ain	n of the study)							
	ospital, specialist search institute							
Methods of an Logistic regres								
Adjustment for	clustering							
		Participants:						
(If multiple intervention/control arms label as 1. 2. 3. etc.)		Furthe Intervention:	er details <u>Control:</u>					
Eligible for stu	udy (n-value):							
Randomised: arm of study	(n-value for each randomised)							
Completed: (participant							

completion n-value for each

arm of study)		
Age: (mean value &/or range for each arm)		
Gender: (for each arm)		
COPD diagnosis criteria		
Recruitment: (through what means, e.g., outpatient clinics etc.)		
Diseases/co-morbidities included:		
Reasons for Subject Exclusion:		
	Interventions:	
	Furthe	r details
Setting:		
Duration of intervention: (weeks and hours)		
Intervention description		
Control description		
	Outcomes:	
	Furthe	r details
List the pre specified outcomes:		
Notes:		

Data extraction

Outcomes relevant to your review	Continuous=C Dichotomous=D	Reported in paper (YES / NO)
Avoidance of treatment failure i.e. avoided ICU		
Dyspnoea		
Sputum purulence/production		
FEV1		
FVC		
Quality of Life		
FOSQ		
SF36		
Nottingham health profile (part 2)		
Euroqol		
General Health Questionnaire (GHQ-28)		
SAQLI		
Other		
Adverse Events		
Readmission rate		
ED presentations		
Length of stay		
Hospital admissions		

Risk of Bias Assessment

Domain	Judgement	
Adequate sequence	- v	
generation		
Was the allocation		
sequence adequately		
generated?		
Allocation Concealment		
Was allocation adequately		
concealed?		
Blinding		
Was knowledge of the		
allocated interventions		
adequately prevented		
during the study?		
For participants		
Describe the methods		
used to blind participants		
awareness of group		
assignment		
For assessors		
Describe the methods used to		
blind outcome assessor(s) of group assignment for each		
outcome		
Incomplete outcome		
data addressed		
Were incomplete outcome		
data adequately		
addressed?		
Free of selective		
reporting		
Are reports of the study		
free of suggestion of		
selective outcome		
reporting?		
Free of other bias		
Was the study apparently		
free of other problems that		
could put it at a high risk of		
bias?		

For Continuous data at day 0								
Outcomes	Unit of measurement	5mg group			10 mg group			Details of outcome as described in text
		n	Mean	SD	n	Mean	SD	

For Continuous data at day 0								
Outcomes	Unit of measurement	5mg group		10 mg group			Details of outcome as described in text	
Sucomos	n	n	Mean	SD	n	Mean	SD	

For Dichotomous data								
Outcome	Intervention Group				Control Group		Details of outcome as described in text	
	Number with event (n)	Total number (n)	%	Number with event (n)	Total number (n)	%		

If more than one intervention please complete separate page for each intervention group

If continuous data is not presented as SD, type the format at the top of the SD column (i.e. SE, CI, p, diff)

Co	omprehensive list of too	ols used to measure	each of the above	outcomes
Outcome	Name of tool	Scale/range	Direction	Validated
		Other comment	S	

(Adapted from: Wynne R, Botti M & Paratz J)

Extra notes:

.