## **Modified Newcastle-Ottawa Scale (NOS)**

Record ID		
Domain of evaluation: Methods for selecting study	participants (i.e. Selection bias)	
Is the source population (cases, controls, cohorts) appropriate and representative of the population of interest?  Example of low risk of bias: A consecutive sample or random selection from a population that is representative of the condition under study.  Example of moderate risk of bias: A consecutive sample or random selection from a population that is not highly representative of the condition under study.  Example of high risk of bias: The source population cannot be defined or enumerated (i.e. volunteering or self-recruitment).	<ul> <li>Definitely no (high risk of bias)</li> <li>Mostly no</li> <li>Mostly yes</li> <li>Definitely yes (low risk of bias)</li> </ul>	
Domain of evaluation: Methods to control confounding (i.e. Performance bias)		
Is the sample size adequate and is there sufficient power to detect a meaningful difference in the outcome of interest?  Example of low risk of bias: Sample size was adequate and there was sufficient power to detect a difference in the outcome.  Example of high risk of bias: Sample size was small and there was not enough power to test outcome of interest.	<ul> <li>Definitely no (high risk of bias)</li> <li>Mostly no</li> <li>Mostly yes</li> <li>Definitely yes (low risk of bias)</li> </ul>	
Did the study identify and adjust for any variables or confounders that may influence the outcome? Example of low risk of bias: The study identified and adjusted for all possible confounders that may influence estimates of association between exposure and outcome (i.e. Was the patient being treated for a medical condition such as chronic pain and was being prescribed opioids while on methadone treatment?)  Example of moderate risk of bias: The study identified and reported possible variables that may influence the outcome but did not explore the interaction.  Example of high risk of bias: The study either did not report any variables of influence or acknowledge variables of influence when it was clear they were present.	<ul> <li>Definitely no (high risk of bias)</li> <li>Mostly no</li> <li>Mostly yes</li> <li>Definitely yes (low risk of bias)</li> </ul>	



Domain of evaluation: Statistical methods (i.e. Detection bias)		
Did the study use appropriate statistical analysis methods relative to the outcome of interest?  Example of low risk of bias: The study reported use of appropriate statistical analysis as required (i.e. adjusting for an unbalanced distribution of a specific covariate among sexes, or correcting for multiple testing error)  Example of moderate risk of bias: The study either used correct statistical methods but did not report them well, or used the incorrect methods but reported them in detail.  Example of high risk of bias: The study did not use appropriate statistical analysis as required (i.e. did not adjust for an unbalanced distribution of a specific covariate among sexes, or correct for multiple testing error when necessary) or did not report them adequately.	<ul> <li>○ Definitely no (high risk of bias)</li> <li>○ Mostly yes</li> <li>○ Definitely yes (low risk of bias)</li> </ul>	
Is there little missing data and did the study handle it accordingly? Example of low risk of bias: The study acknowledged missing data to be less than 10% and specified the method of handling it. Example of moderate risk of bias: The study either had greater than 15% but they specified the method they used to handle it. Example of high risk of bias: The study had greater than 15% missing data and did not handle it at all.	<ul> <li>Definitely no (high risk of bias)</li> <li>Mostly no</li> <li>Mostly yes</li> <li>Definitely yes (low risk of bias)</li> </ul>	
Domain of evaluation: Methods for measuring outcome variables (i.e. Information bias)		
Is the methodology of the outcome measurement explicitly stated and is it appropriate? Example of low risk of bias: The study provides a detailed description of the outcome measure(s) which are appropriate for the outcome of interest. Example of moderate risk of bias: The study provides a somewhat complete description of outcome measurements and they are justified. Example of high risk of bias: The study provides limited information on the methods of measuring the outcome and the measure is not appropriate considering the outcome.	<ul> <li>○ Definitely no (high risk of bias)</li> <li>○ Mostly no</li> <li>○ Mostly yes</li> <li>○ Definitely yes (low risk of bias)</li> </ul>	
Is there an objective assessment of the outcome of interest?  Example of low risk of bias: The study used objective methods to discern the outcome status of participants (i.e. laboratory measurements, medical records).  Example of moderate risk of bias: The study relied on subjective data as the primary method to discern outcome status of participants (i.e. self-report).  Example of high risk of bias: The study had limited reporting about assessment of outcomes.	<ul> <li>Definitely no (high risk of bias)</li> <li>Mostly no</li> <li>Mostly yes</li> <li>Definitely yes (low risk of bias)</li> </ul>	

