### Online supplement 1: MRI protocol

Sequence	SPGR inspiration/ expiration	FS T2 weighted PROPELL ER	ZTE	MRA Fiesta	
Acquisition plane	Sagittal	Axial	Axial	Coronal	
TR/TE (ms)	1.5/0.6	*/73	1.1/0	3.6/1.1	
flip angle (°)	2	90/120	2	50	
RF	Selective	Selective	Non-selective	Selective	
In-plane matrix	120x120	340x340	200x200	220x220	
k-space trajectory	Cartesian	BLADES	Radial	Cartesian	
In-plane Field-of-view (FOV)	36	34	30	40	
RecFOV	0.75	-	-	-	
Actual voxel resolution (mm <sup>3</sup> )	3.0x3.0x3.0	1.0x1.0x3.5	1.5x1.5x1.5	1.8x1.8x1.8	
Slices	90 (as in VIBE)	50-70	200	60-80	
Slice thickness	3.0	3.5	1.5	1.8	
Receiver bandwidth (KHz)	90	83.33	62.5	100	
Parallel imaging	ARC (1.5x1.2)	3.0	None	Asset (2.0)	
Number of average	1	2.0	2.5	1	
No. of spokes per segment	-	14	512	150-180 ms	
Physiological triggering	ВН	Prospective pencil beam navigator	Prospective projection navigator	Respiratory gated and cardiac triggered	
Scan time (sec) RR=20	+/- 6sec	+/- 5 min	+/- 6 min	+/- 6 min	

**Online supplement 1:** MRI protocol. FOV; Field Of View, FS: fat suppressed, NEX; Number of Excitations, PROPELLER; Periodically Overlapping ParalLEL Lines with Enhanced Reconstruction, RF; radio frequency, SPGR; Spoiled Gradient Echo Sequence, TE; Echo Time, TR; Repetition Time. \* TR chosen depended on the respiratory frequency of the patient.

# Online supplement 2:

## Online supplement 2A: Structured CT report

Structured CT report Congenital Lung Abnormalities							
Scan protocol: Contrast enhancement:							
☐ Inspiration ☐ Volumetric Slice thickness: mm	□ None						
☐ Expiration ☐ Volumetric Slice thickness: mm	☐ Venous phase						
	☐ Arterial phase						
A. Findings:							
Location & extent: Airway:							
RUL RML RLL LUL LLL	□ Normal connection						
Extent	☐ Bronchomalacia						
1= 1/3 lobe 2= 3/3 lobe 3=complete lobe	☐ Atresia						
B. Lesion:							
Cystic tissue:	Solid tissue:						
Structure:	RUL RML RLL LUL LLL						
☐ Dominant cyst ☐ Cluster of multiple uniform cysts	Extent						
Average cyst size: mm	1= 1/3 lobe 2= 1/3 lobe 3=whole lobe						
Size of largest cyst: mm							
Content:	Density:						
☐ Air-filled	☐ Homogeneous						
☐ Fluid-filled	☐ Heterogeneous						
☐ Air-Fluid levels							
C. Border:							
☐ Well-defined ☐ Ill-defined							
D. Vascularization:							
Arterial:	Venous:						
☐ Normal pulmonary	☐ Normal pulmonary						
☐ Aberrant :	☐ Aberrant :						
Number & Size: Origin:	Number & Size: Origin:						
E. (Surrounding) tissue:							
RUL RML RLL LUL LLL	7						
Atelectasis	☐ Mass effect						
Low-attenuation	☐ Mediastinal shift						
Hyperinflation	Wiedlastinal Stillt						
1= ½ lobe 2= ¾ lobe 3=whole lobe	☐ Mediastinal herniation						
F. Conclusion							
□ CPAM							
□BPS							

☐ Hybrid lesion	
□ CLO	
□BA	
□BC	

# Online supplement 2B: Structured MRI report

Structured MRI report Congenital Lung Abnormalities
A. Findings:
Location & extent: Airway:
RUL RML RLL LUL LLL   Normal connection
Extent
1= ½ lobe 2= ½ lobe 3=complete lobe
□ Not clearly visible
On which sequence was the airway best visualized:
☐ SPGR inspiration
☐ SPGR expiration
☐ T2-w PROPELLER
□ ZTE
☐ Other, namely
B. Lesion:
Cystic tissue: Solid tissue:
Structure:     RUL RML RLL LUL LLL
☐ Dominant cyst Extent
☐ Cluster of multiple uniform cysts ☐ 1= ½ lobe 2= ½ lobe 3=whole lobe
☐ Not clearly visible
Average cyst size: mm     Density:
■ Size of largest cyst: mm ☐ Homogeneous
■ Content: ☐ Heterogeneous
☐ Air-filled
☐ Fluid-filled On which sequence was the solid tissue best visualized:
☐ Air-Fluid levels ☐ SPGR inspiration
☐ Not clearly visible ☐ SPGR expiration
r T2-w PROPELLER
On which sequence was the cyst(s) best visualized:
☐ SPGR inspiration ☐ Other, namely
□ SPGR expiration
☐ T2-w PROPELLER
□ZTE

<b>C.</b>	Border:						
	☐ Well-defined		l-defined		Not clea	arly visib	le
On	which sequence was	the lesio	on borde	r best v	risualized	d:	
	SPGR inspiration						
	☐ SPGR expiration						
	T2-w PROPELLER						
	Other, namely						
D.	Vascularization:						
	☐ Normal pulmo	nary					
	☐ Aberrant :						
	Number 8	& Size:	Oı	rigin:			
On	which sequence was	the vaso	cularizati	on best	visualiz	ed:	
	SPGR inspiration						
	SPGR expiration						
	Γ2-w PROPELLER						
	ZTE						
	MRA						
E. (	(Surrounding) tissue:						
		RUL	RML	RLL	LUL	LLL	☐ Mass effect
	Atelectasis						
	Low-attenuation						☐ Mediastinal shift
	Hyperinflation						
	1= ½ lobe 2= ¾ lobe	3=wh	ole lobe		1	1	☐ Mediastinal herniation
On	which sequence was	atelecta	asis best v	visualize	ed:		
	SPGR inspiration						
	SPGR expiration						
	Γ2-w PROPELLER						
□ Other, namely							
	•						
On	which sequence was	low-atte	enuation	best vi	sualized	:	
□ SPGR inspiration							
□ SPGR expiration							
□ T2-w PROPELLER							
	☐ Other, namely						
LIL	Other, namely						
	Other, namely						

On which sequence was hyperinflation best visualized:
☐ SPGR inspiration
☐ SPGR expiration
☐ T2-w PROPELLER
□ ZTE
□ Other, namely
F. Conclusion
□ Post- surgical CLA
□ CPAM
□ BPS
☐ Hybrid lesion
□ CLO
□ BA
□вс

# Online supplement 3: Qualitative scoring method

Deniation of figgures	1.	Unacceptable (invisible interlobar fissure)	
Depiction of fissures		•	
	2.	Fair (blurred interlobar fissure)	
	3.	Good (visible interlobar fissure)	
Depiction of	1.	Unacceptable (invisible peripheral pulmonary vessels)	
intrapulmonary	2.	Poor (barely visible peripheral pulmonary vessels)	
vessels	3.	Fair (visible peripheral pulmonary vessels)	
	4.	Good (visible peripheral pulmonary vessels with clear margin)	
	5.	Excellent (visible peripheral pulmonary vessels with clear margin)	
<b>Depiction of bronchi</b>	1.	Unacceptable (indistinguishable lobar bronchial walls)	
•	2.	Poor (visible lobar bronchial walls with <10 visible segmental bronchial	
		walls)	
	3.	Fair (visible lobar bronchial walls with >10 visible segmental bronchial	
		walls)	
	4.		
		bronchial walls, with few visible sub/segmental bronchial walls)	
	5.	Excellent (visible sub-subsegmental bronchial walls)	
Image noise/artifacts	1.	Unacceptable	
8	2.	Above average noise/artifacts	
	3.	Average and acceptable	
	4.	Less than average noise/ artifacts	
	5.	Minimum of no noise/artifacts	
Overall acceptability	1.	Unacceptable	
	2.	Suboptimal	
	3.	Satisfactory	
	4.	Above average	
	5.	Superior	

**Online supplement 3:** Qualitative scoring method adjusted from Bae *et al.* (European Radiology 2020)