Supplementary

ACS image reconstruction

The architecture of the convolutional neural networks used for image reconstruction in this study was extended with paired undersampled and full-sampled images. The network used in this study is similar to U-net, with a residual block consisting of two convolution operations and a jump connection replacing the convolution operation in the original U-net. To speed up the learning process, a long jump connection was added to learn the residuals between fully sampled and undersampled images. To further improve the quality of the reconstructed images, a least-squares generative adversarial network training technique was used [1; 2]. In previous studies, we applied this technology in single-breath-hold T2WI liver MRI [3], and the same network structure of the deep learning-based fast MRI reconstruction framework was used in this study.

Reference:

- Quan TM, Nguyen-Duc T, Jeong WK (2018) Compressed Sensing MRI Reconstruction Using a Generative Adversarial Network With a Cyclic Loss. IEEE Trans Med Imaging 37:1488-1497
- 2 Yang G, Yu S, Dong H et al (2018) DAGAN: Deep De-Aliasing Generative Adversarial Networks for Fast Compressed Sensing MRI Reconstruction. IEEE Trans Med Imaging 37:1310-1321
- 3 Sheng RF, Zheng LY, Jin KP et al (2021) Single-breath-hold T2WI liver MRI with deep learning-based reconstruction: A clinical feasibility study in comparison to conventional multibreath-hold T2WI liver MRI. Magn Reson Imaging 81:75-81

| Protocol | | PI pro | otocol | | | ACS-3.5min protocol | | | | ACS-2min protocol | | | |
|---------------------------------|----------|----------|---------|------------|----------|---------------------|---------|------------|----------|-------------------|---------|------------|--|
| Parameter | Sagittal | Sagittal | Coronal | Transverse | Sagittal | Sagittal | Coronal | Transverse | Sagittal | Sagittal | Coronal | Transverse | |
| | T1 FSE | PD FSE | PD FSE | PD FSE | T1 FSE | PD FSE | PD FSE | PD FSE | T1 FSE | PD FSE | PD FSE | PD FSE | |
| Repetition time, ms | 600 | 2600 | 2600 | 2600 | 600 | 2750 | 2400 | 2400 | 400 | 2450 | 1900 | 2600 | |
| Echo time, ms | 8.9 | 37.3 | 37.3 | 37.3 | 8.5 | 40.0 | 37.3 | 37.3 | 6.2 | 50.3 | 43.0 | 46.6 | |
| Echo train length | 3 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 4 | 10 | 7 | 7 | 5 | 12 | 10 | 11 | |
| No. excitations | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1.5 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | |
| Bend width,Hz | 250 | 180 | 180 | 180 | 220 | 245 | 185 | 185 | 400 | 220 | 300 | 250 | |
| Flip angle, degrees | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | |
| Field of view, mm | 160×160 | 160×160 | 160×160 | 160×160 | 160×160 | 160×160 | 160×160 | 160×160 | 160×160 | 160×160 | 160×160 | 160×160 | |
| Matrix | 252×336 | 272×320 | 272×320 | 272×320 | 252×336 | 272×320 | 272×320 | 272×320 | 252×336 | 272×320 | 272×320 | 272×320 | |
| Slice thickness/gap, mm | 3.5/0.5 | 3.5/0.5 | 3.5/0.5 | 4.0/0.5 | 3.5/0.5 | 3.5/0.5 | 3.5/0.5 | 4.0/0.5 | 4.0/0.5 | 4.0/0.5 | 4.0/0.5 | 4.0/0.5 | |
| Concatenation | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | |
| Acceleration factor | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 2 | |
| Mean acquisition time, min:s | 1:39 | 1:54 | 1:24 | 1:45 | 0:35 | 0:58 | 0:34 | 0:46 | 0:23 | 0:40 | 0:30 | 0:24 | |

Table S1. MRI sequence parameters used in three protocols

Note: Multiple values indicate parameter differences between scan sequences. Sagittal, coronal, and axial T2 fat-suppression sequences and T1WI sagittal scan parameters of each scanning protocol, respectively.

| Variable and protocol | | | Median | SD | IQR | <i>P</i> value for | <i>P</i> value for post hoc analysis after Friedman test | | |
|-----------------------|------------|-------|--------|------|-------|--------------------|--|------------|----------|
| | | Mean | | | | Friedman | DI | ACS 2 5min | ACS-2min |
| | | | | | | test | F1 | ACS-5.5 | |
| ERD | | | | | | | | | |
| | PI | 1.03 | 0.93 | 0.41 | 0.33 | | - | <.001 | 0.676 |
| | ACS-3.5min | 0.80 | 0.76 | 0.22 | 0.24 | <.001 | <.001 | - | <.001 |
| | ACS-2min | 1.16 | 0.90 | 0.73 | 0.57 | | 0.676 | <.001 | - |
| SNR-Bo | ne | | | | | | | | |
| | PI | 21.05 | 21.71 | 6.0 | 10.53 | | - | <.001 | <.001 |
| | ACS-3.5min | 23.42 | 23.88 | 6.1 | 10.74 | <.001 | <.001 | - | 0.149 |
| | ACS-2min | 23.70 | 24.13 | 6.35 | 10.54 | | <.001 | 0.149 | - |
| SNR-IPF | P | | | | | | | | |
| | PI | 8.05 | 7.93 | 1.21 | 1.79 | < 001 | - | <.001 | <.001 |
| | ACS-3.5min | 8.94 | 8.81 | 1.47 | 2.2 | <.001 | <.001 | - | <.001 |
| | ACS-2min | 7.08 | 7.09 | 1.43 | 2.18 | | <.001 | <.001 | - |

Table S2. Quantitative evaluation of edge rise distance (ERD) and signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) in sagittal T1WI images

Note. SD: standard deviation; IQR: interquartile range; PI: parallel acquisition technique; ACS: AI-assisted compressed sensing; ERD: edge rise distance; SNR: signal-to-noise ratio.

| Variable and protocol | | | | | | P value for | P value for post hoc analysis after Friedman test | | | |
|-----------------------|------------|-------|--------|------|------|-------------|---|--------------|----------|--|
| | | Mean | Median | SD | IQR | Friedman | ם | ACS 2 5min | ACS-2min | |
| | | | | | | test | PI | ACS-5.5IIIII | | |
| ERD | | | | | | | | | | |
| | PI | 0.85 | 0.77 | 0.25 | 0.25 | | - | NA | NA | |
| | ACS-3.5min | 1.09 | 0.74 | 0.86 | 0.59 | 0.218 | NA | - | NA | |
| | ACS-2min | 0.83 | 0.78 | 0.24 | 0.23 | | NA | NA | - | |
| SNR-Bor | ne | | | | | | | | | |
| | PI | 8.67 | 8.67 | 1.35 | 2.43 | <.001 | - | <.001 | <.001 | |
| | ACS-3.5min | 11.17 | 11.3 | 1.62 | 2.29 | | <.001 | - | <.001 | |
| | ACS-2min | 15.25 | 15.25 | 2.04 | 2.87 | | <.001 | <.001 | - | |
| SNR-IPF | Р | | | | | | | | | |
| | PI | 5.65 | 5.48 | 1.10 | 1.83 | < 001 | - | <.001 | <.001 | |
| | ACS-3.5min | 7.67 | 7.57 | 1.39 | 1.92 | <.001 | <.001 | - | <.001 | |
| | ACS-2min | 6.39 | 6.28 | 1.11 | 1.91 | | <.001 | <.001 | - | |

Table S3. Quantitative evaluation of edge rise distance (ERD) and signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) in sagittal PDFS images

Note. PDFS: proton density-weighted fat suppression images; SD: standard deviation; IQR: interquartile range; PI: parallel acquisition technique; ACS: AI-assisted compressed sensing; ERD: edge rise distance; SNR: signal-to-noise ratio.

| Variable and protocol | | | | | | P value for | P value for post hoc analysis after Friedman test | | | |
|-----------------------|------------|-------|--------|------|------|-------------|---|--------------|----------|--|
| | | Mean | Median | SD | IQR | Friedman | DI | ACS 2 5min | ACS-2min | |
| | | | | | | test | F1 | ACS-5.JIIIII | | |
| ERD | | | | | | | | | | |
| | PI | 0.91 | 0.79 | 0.33 | 0.32 | | - | <.001 | <.001 | |
| | ACS-3.5min | 0.70 | 0.68 | 0.16 | 0.19 | <.001 | <.001 | - | 0.085 | |
| | ACS-2min | 0.75 | 0.72 | 0.17 | 0.22 | | <.001 | 0.085 | - | |
| SNR-Bo | ne | | | | | | | | | |
| | PI | 8.24 | 8.2 | 0.98 | 1.71 | | - | <.001 | <.001 | |
| | ACS-3.5min | 9.98 | 9.96 | 1.19 | 1.97 | <.001 | <.001 | - | 1.000 | |
| | ACS-2min | 9.88 | 9.35 | 1.25 | 1.89 | | <.001 | 1.000 | - | |
| SNR-IPF | P | | | | | | | | | |
| | PI | 8.61 | 8.58 | 1.85 | 2.68 | < 001 | - | <.001 | <.001 | |
| | ACS-3.5min | 10.24 | 10.21 | 2.37 | 3.52 | <.001 | <.001 | - | <.001 | |
| | ACS-2min | 10.47 | 10.51 | 2.32 | 3.64 | | <.001 | <.001 | - | |

Table S4. Quantitative evaluation of edge rise distance (ERD) and signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) in transverse PDFS images

Note. PDFS: proton density-weighted fat suppression images; SD: standard deviation; IQR: interquartile range; PI: parallel acquisition technique; ACS: AI-assisted compressed sensing; ERD: edge rise distance; SNR: signal-to-noise ratio.

| Variable and protocol | | | | | | P value for | P value for post hoc analysis after Friedman test | | |
|-----------------------|----------------|-------|--------|------|------|-------------|---|--------------|----------|
| | | Mean | Median | SD | IQR | Friedman | PI | ACS 2 5min | ACS-2min |
| | | | | | | test | | ACS-5.5IIIII | |
| ERD | | | | | | | | | |
| | PI | 1.09 | 0.90 | 0.52 | 0.72 | 0.002 | - | 0.004 | 1.000 |
| | ACS-3.5min | 0.94 | 0.82 | 0.43 | 0.41 | | 0.004 | - | 0.014 |
| | ACS-2min | 0.96 | 0.78 | 0.22 | 0.46 | | 1.000 | 0.014 | - |
| SNR-Bo | ne | | | | | | | | |
| | PI | 8.45 | 8.47 | 0.88 | 1.51 | | - | <.001 | <.001 |
| | ACS-3.5min | 9.74 | 9.73 | 1.00 | 1.50 | <.001 | <.001 | - | <.001 |
| | ACS-2min | 11.62 | 11.72 | 1.13 | 1.61 | | <.001 | <.001 | - |
| SNR-IPI | ⁷ P | | | | | | | | |
| | PI | 6.42 | 4.44 | 2.10 | 4.05 | < 001 | - | <.001 | <.001 |
| | ACS-3.5min | 8.52 | 8.63 | 2.30 | 3.28 | <.001 | <.001 | - | <.001 |
| | ACS-2min | 11.21 | 10.90 | 3.11 | 4.62 | | <.001 | <.001 | - |

Table S5. Quantitative evaluation of edge rise distance (ERD) and signal-to-noise ratio (SNR) in coronal PDFS images

Note. PDFS: proton density-weighted fat suppression images; SD: standard deviation; IQR: interquartile range; PI: parallel acquisition technique; ACS: AI-assisted compressed sensing; ERD: edge rise distance; SNR: signal-to-noise ratio.