## Diffusion-weighted imaging complements T2-weighted MRI for tumour response

assessment in squamous anal carcinoma

## Electronic Supplementary Material

Supplemental Table 1. MRI sequences and typical acquisition parameters.

|  | TR/TE <br> $(\mathrm{msec})$ | Slice <br> thickness <br> $(\mathrm{mm})$ | Interslice <br> gap (mm) | Matrix <br> Size | FOV (cm) | No. of <br> Signals <br> Acquired |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Sagittal T2W whole <br> pelvis | $4430 / 100$ | 3 | .3 | $307 \times 384$ | $250 \times 250$ | 2 |
| Axial T2W whole <br> pelvis | $4590 / 101$ | 5 | 1.5 | $307 \times 384$ | $300 \times 300$ | 1 |
| Small FOV T2W <br> perpendicular and <br> parallel to anal canal | $6530 / 104$ | 3 | .3 | $512 \times 512$ | $200 \times 200$ | 2 |
| Axial DWI whole <br> pelvis | $5900 / 68$ | 5 | 1.5 | $116 \times 154$ | $300 \times 300$ | 4 |

Supplemental Table 2. Observer confidence at assessing tumour response. Significant change towards high confidence scores is seen when T2-weighted MRI and DWI are used in conjunction.

|  | Confidence score | T2* | T2 + DWI* | $P$-Value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Observer 1 | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & 3 \\ & 4 \\ & 5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 2 \text { (2\%) } \\ & 31 \text { (36\%) } \\ & 44 \text { (52\%) } \\ & 8 \text { (9\%) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0 \text { (0\%) } \\ & 10 \text { (12\%) } \\ & 48 \text { (56\%) } \\ & 27 \text { (32\%) } \end{aligned}$ | <0.001 |
| Observer 2 | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & 3 \\ & 4 \\ & 5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 14 \text { (16\%) } \\ & 25 \text { (29\%) } \\ & 44 \text { (52\%) } \\ & 2 \text { (2\%) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0 \text { (0\%) } \\ & 11 \text { (13\%) } \\ & 30 \text { (35\%) } \\ & 44 \text { (52\%) } \end{aligned}$ | <0.001 |
| Observer 3 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 2 \\ & 3 \\ & 4 \\ & 5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 10 \text { (12\%) } \\ & 37 \text { (44\%) } \\ & 32 \text { (38\%) } \\ & 6 \text { (7\%) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{\|l} \hline 1 \text { (1\%) } \\ 17 \text { (20\%) } \\ 38 \text { (45\%) } \\ 29 \text { (34\%) } \end{array}$ | <0.001 |
| Observer 4 | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \\ & 3 \\ & 4 \\ & 5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 6 \text { (7\%) } \\ & 27 \text { (32\%) } \\ & 38 \text { (45\%) } \\ & 14 \text { (16\%) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 1 \text { (1\%) } \\ & 13 \text { (15\%) } \\ & 19 \text { (22\%) } \\ & 52 \text { (61\%) } \end{aligned}$ | <0.001 |
| All combined | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 2 \\ & 3 \\ & 4 \\ & 5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 32 \text { (9\%) } \\ & 120 \text { (35\%) } \\ & 158 \text { (46\%) } \\ & 30 \text { (9\%) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 2 \text { (1\%) } \\ & 51 \text { (15\%) } \\ & 135 \text { (40\%) } \\ & 152 \text { (45\%) } \end{aligned}$ | <0.001 |

* Data are number of cases, with percentage of total in parentheses.

Supplemental Table 3. Interobserver agreement for expert and non-expert observers for T2-
weighted MRI alone and T2-weighted plus DWI.

| Observers | T2 <br> Kappa $(95 \% \mathrm{CI})$ | T2 + DWI Kappa <br> $(95 \% \mathrm{CI})$ | Difference <br> $(95 \% \mathrm{CI})$ | $P$-Value |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Expert | $0.40(0.23,0.56)$ | $0.31(0.08,0.43)$ | $-0.09(-0.29,0.12)$ | 0.40 |
| Non-expert | $0.39(0.23,0.55)$ | $0.55(0.40,0.71)$ | $0.16(-0.06,0.38)$ | 0.16 |
| All | $0.28(0.22,0.35)$ | $0.33(0.27,0.40)$ | $0.05(-0.04,0.14)$ | 0.27 |

\# Difference calculated as value for T2+DWI minus value for T2

