ELECTRONIC SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

Epidemiologic Module Inputs

Idble :	Contact	r matrix								
					Ir	nfectious	(j)			
	Age (y)	0–3	4–6	7–9	10–19	20–34	35–49	50–64	65–69	≥70
	0–3	0.98	1.36	1.55	0.87	1.07	0.64	0.12	0.07	0.06
	4–6	0.54	1.00	1.23	0.74	0.49	0.43	0.06	0.04	0.03
	7–9	0.44	0.99	1.26	0.78	0.33	0.40	0.05	0.03	0.03
le (i)	10–19	1.02	2.52	3.27	2.72	1.59	2.28	0.55	0.30	0.19
eptib	20–34	0.91	0.93	0.95	1.02	3.51	3.22	1.33	0.80	0.38
Susc	35–49	0.50	0.92	1.14	1.42	3.15	3.59	1.32	0.79	0.40
	50–64	0.17	0.25	0.29	0.63	2.52	2.54	1.69	1.17	0.54
	65–69	0.05	0.06	0.07	0.14	0.58	0.58	0.42	0.50	0.23
	≥70	0.06	0.09	0.10	0.11	0.52	0.55	0.37	0.40	0.92

Table \$1 Contact matrix

Source: Del Valle et al. [1], adapted to match the age structure of the model

Table S2 Population distribution

Age range (y)	%	Population			
0–3	4.59	14,077,000			
4–6	3.97	12,188,400			
7–9	3.99	12,249,600			
10–19	13.64	41,844,000			
20–34	20.57	63,097,000			
35–49	20.05	61,510,000			
50–64	19.67	60,343,000			
65–69	4.43	13,599,000			
70+	9.10	27,907,000			
Total	100.00	306,815,000			
Source: 2012 US Current Population Survey [2]					

Season	% Type B	% Yamagata	% Victoria	% Type A	% H1N1	% H3N2
1999–2000	0.4	100.0	0.0	99.6	3.2	96.8
2000–2001 (high/low match scenarios)	46.4	100.0	0.0	53.6	96.9	3.1
2001–2002	12.5	22.8	77.2	87.5	1.9	98.1
2002–2003	42.6	0.4	99.6	57.4	74.9	25.1
2003–2004	1.0	93.0	7.0	99.0	0.0	100.0
2004–2005	24.6	74.4	25.6	75.4	0.3	99.7
2005–2006	19.1	21.9	78.1	80.9	7.6	92.4
2006–2007	20.8	23.5	76.5	79.2	62.3	37.7
2007–2008	29.0	97.7	2.3	71.0	26.2	73.8
2008–2009	33.5	16.6	83.4	66.5	89.2	10.8
2009–2010	1.2	11.6	88.4	98.8	95.0	5.0
2010–2011	26.2	5.8	94.2	73.8	38.0	62.0
2011–2012	18.3	48.3	51.7	81.7	27.0	73.0
2012–2013	29.6	63.8	36.2	70.4	5.6	94.4
2013–2014	14.7	93.0	7.0	85.3	87.7	12.3
Average match scenario	21.3	10.3	11.0	78.7	30.4	48.3

Source: Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) [3–5], Reed et al. [6], and Epperson et al. [7]

Age cohort (y)	% vaccinated
0–3	72.2
4–6	63.4
7–9	61.0
10–19	49.3
20–34	32.3
35–49	32.3
50–64	45.3
65–69	65.0
70+	65.0
Source: Centers for Disease [8]	e Control and Prevention (CDC)

Table S4 US influenza vaccine coverage 2013-14 season

Table S5 F	Probability of s	successful vaca	cination w	hen vac	cinated							
Age (y)		aTIV ≥65; QIV	<65			QIV				τιν		
	Estimate	SD of estimate	Low	High	Estimate	SD of estimate	Low	High	Estimate	SD of estimate	Low	High
					Low mo	atch season						
0–3	0.40	0.05	0.30	0.51	0.40	0.05	0.30	0.51	0.25	0.04	0.18	0.33
4–6	0.40	0.05	0.30	0.51	0.40	0.05	0.30	0.51	0.25	0.04	0.18	0.33
7–9	0.65	0.06	0.52	0.77	0.65	0.06	0.52	0.77	0.56	0.05	0.47	0.65
10–19	0.65	0.06	0.52	0.77	0.65	0.06	0.52	0.77	0.56	0.05	0.47	0.65
20–34	0.65	0.06	0.52	0.77	0.65	0.06	0.52	0.77	0.56	0.05	0.47	0.65
35–49	0.65	0.06	0.52	0.77	0.65	0.06	0.52	0.77	0.56	0.05	0.47	0.65
50–64	0.60	0.06	0.48	0.72	0.60	0.06	0.48	0.72	0.50	0.05	0.41	0.59
65–69	0.44	0.09	0.27	0.62	0.40	0.09	0.23	0.58	0.25	0.07	0.13	0.39
70+	0.44	0.09	0.27	0.62	0.40	0.09	0.23	0.58	0.25	0.07	0.13	0.39
					Average	match season						
0–3	0.40	0.08	0.26	0.55	0.40	0.08	0.26	0.55	0.37	0.07	0.23	0.51
4–6	0.40	0.08	0.26	0.55	0.40	0.08	0.26	0.55	0.37	0.07	0.23	0.51
7–9	0.65	0.09	0.47	0.81	0.65	0.09	0.47	0.81	0.63	0.09	0.45	0.79

	A												
10–19	0.65	0.09	0.47	0.81	0.65	0.09	0.47	0.81	0.63	0.09	0.45	0.79	
20–34	0.65	0.09	0.47	0.81	0.65	0.09	0.47	0.81	0.63	0.09	0.45	0.79	
35–49	0.65	0.09	0.47	0.81	0.65	0.09	0.47	0.81	0.63	0.09	0.45	0.79	
50–64	0.60	0.09	0.42	0.77	0.60	0.09	0.42	0.77	0.58	0.09	0.40	0.74	
65–69	0.52	0.09	0.34	0.71	0.40	0.13	0.16	0.66	0.37	0.12	0.15	0.62	
70+	0.52	0.09	0.34	0.71	0.40	0.13	0.16	0.66	0.37	0.12	0.15	0.62	
					High m	atch season							
0–3	0.40	0.05	0.30	0.51	0.40	0.05	0.30	0.51	0.40	0.04	0.32	0.48	
4–6	0.40	0.05	0.30	0.51	0.40	0.05	0.30	0.51	0.40	0.04	0.32	0.48	
7–9	0.65	0.06	0.52	0.77	0.65	0.06	0.52	0.77	0.65	0.05	0.56	0.74	
10–19	0.65	0.06	0.52	0.77	0.65	0.06	0.52	0.77	0.65	0.05	0.56	0.74	
20–34	0.65	0.06	0.52	0.77	0.65	0.06	0.52	0.77	0.65	0.05	0.56	0.74	
35–49	0.65	0.06	0.52	0.77	0.65	0.06	0.52	0.77	0.65	0.05	0.56	0.74	
50–64	0.60	0.06	0.48	0.72	0.60	0.06	0.48	0.72	0.60	0.05	0.51	0.69	
65–69	0.55	0.09	0.37	0.72	0.40	0.09	0.23	0.58	0.40	0.07	0.27	0.54	
70+	0.55	0.09	0.37	0.72	0.40	0.09	0.23	0.58	0.40	0.07	0.27	0.54	

Weighted average of age-stratified strain-specific efficacies (assumed to be the same across all matched strains for purposes of this analysis). Determined in conjunction with Beckmann Bio [9] based on meta-analysis (references available separately) [10–12]. aTIV viral effectiveness >65 y based on reduction in hospitalization observed in LIVE study [13]. Beta distribution applied for probabilistic variations

aTIV adjuvanted TIV, QIV quadrivalent influenza vaccine, SD standard deviation, TIV trivalent influenza vaccine

Age (y)	Gross attack rate	Pr (outpatient/ influenza)	Pr (hospiłal/ influenza)	Pr (death/ influenza)
0–4	0.203	0.47866	0.0141	0.00004
5–17	0.102	0.351602	0.0006	0.00001
18–49	0.066	0.359488	0.0042	0.00009
50–64	0.066	0.42735	0.0193	0.00134
65+	0.09	0.7224	0.0421	0.0117
Source: N	Aolinari et al. [14]			

 Table S6 US influenza attack rates and conditional probabilities (Pr) of morbidity and mortality

Calibration of the Model

The model was calibrated to fit a cumulative influenza incidence with observed historical USspecific data based on age-stratified trivalent influenza vaccine (TIV) vaccination rates averaging 45.8% (using the population distribution as described above) across the entire population estimated by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) for the 2013– 2014 season [15] (**Table S4**), and age-stratified TIV vaccine effectiveness of 56.2% in an average match season based on literature sources [9–12] (**Table S5**). The proportion of the population with immunity at baseline was assumed as 67% [16]. The relative transmissibility factor σ was adjusted using Excel's goal-seek functionality (maximum 10,000 iterations, maximum change 0.00001) to produce cumulative influenza incidence in low (2.8%) (2000– 2001 season [6]), average (8.4%) [14], and high (15.0%) (near high-end of CDC's estimated annual incidence range of 5–20% [17]) intensity influenza seasons (i.e. three calibrations) (**Table S7**).

The Excel goal-seek function was also used to ensure that the number of influenza cases by age cohort matched those obtained using age-stratified gross attack rates from Molinari et al. [14] in an average intensity, average match season. Adjusted age-stratified susceptibility factors φ_i were applied to all scenarios (**Table S4**).

Age-stratified conditional probabilities of hospitalization and death due to influenza and complications (pneumonia, bronchitis, other respiratory illness, cardiovascular disease) were adjusted to match the conditional probabilities suggested by Molinari et al. [14], and were applied to all scenarios (**Table S9** [14, 18–22]). Because of lack of specificity of the Molinari estimates, conditional probabilities of hospitalization and death for each complication were assumed to be the same.

Transmissibility factors σ and the corresponding R_e values, calculated by calibrating the model to observed incidence of influenza in the United States, were as follows: (1) low intensity season = 0.54 (2.8% influenza incidence in the population) corresponding to R_e = 0.97; (2) average season = 0.73 (8.4% incidence) corresponding to R_e = 1.30; (3) high intensity

= 0.94 (15.0% incidence) corresponding to R_e =1.70. The R_e range is consistent with estimates in the literature [23, 24] (Table S7).

Scenario	Relative transmissibility (σ)	Effective reproduction parameter (Re)	Incidence (%)	Source
Low intensity	0.54	0.97	2.8	Reed et al. [6]: 2000–2001 season
Average intensity	0.73	1.30	8.4	Molinari et al. [14]
High intensity	0.94	1.70	15.0	CDC [17]

Table S8Age-stratifiedsusceptibility

Age cohort	Susceptibility (a;)
0–3	10.92
4–6	6.80
7–9	6.67
10–19	1.33
20–34	0.68
35–49	0.67
50–64	0.98
65–69	5.58
70+	4.99

Source: Calibrated to agestratified gross attack rates in Molinari et al. [14], defined as average intensity, average match season then applied to all scenarios

Sensitivity Analysis

Within each scenario, we conducted a probabilistic sensitivity analysis across 1000 simulation runs by varying duration of infection, vaccine efficacy, conditional probabilities of complications, hospitalization and death, as well as impacts on life-years and qualityadjusted life-years (QALYs). Variation in the intensity (severity) of the influenza season was investigated using deterministic scenarios based on influenza incidence. The impact of vaccine match on outcomes was investigated in low, average, and high match scenarios using virology surveillance data from within which vaccine efficacy was probabilistically varied using a beta distribution.

The WAIFW (Who Acquired Infection From Whom) Matrix

The WAIFW matrix β is a product of the relative transmissibility parameter σ obtained via calibration, the contact matrix γ_{ij} , the susceptibility vector ϕ_i by age cohort i obtained via calibration, and the force of infection vector ζ_i by age cohort j assumed to be 1 in the model due to lack of specification.

$$\beta = (\beta_{ij}) = \sigma \cdot \gamma_{ij} \cdot \varphi_i \cdot \xi_j$$

An effective reproduction parameter, R_e, is calculated as the dominant eigenvalue of the next-generation matrix K according to Diekmann et al. [25]:

$$K = (k_{ij}) = \frac{\sigma \cdot \gamma_{ij} \cdot N_j}{N \cdot \upsilon}$$

where N_j / N is the proportion of the population in age cohort j and 1/ υ is the duration of infection [23, 26, 27]. The challenges of measuring the reproduction parameter R (whether basic or effective) are well documented [28, 29].

Since our relative transmissibility parameter σ is a function of the cumulative incidence in a population which is partially immune due to previously acquired protection and vaccination in the current season, our estimate is not of the basic reproduction R_0 , which is relevant for a

fully susceptible population, but rather an effective reproduction parameter R_e . Where the contact and recovery rates do not vary with time as in this model, R_0 is linearly related to R_e according to the inverse of the proportion of the population that is susceptible [16].

$$R_0 = \frac{N}{S} R_e$$

Based on the model's assumptions that (a) 67% of the population is immune at baseline [16], (b) the immunization rate is 45.8% [15], and (c) an average match with TIV effectiveness of 56.2%, 24.5% of the total population is susceptible and hence $R_0 = 1/24.5\% \times R_e = 4.08 \times R_e$. Therefore, R_0 in our simulations is 3.96, 5.30, and 6.94 in the low, average, and high intensity seasons, respectively.

Notes on the Calibration of Outcome Probabilities

To match the overall age-stratified conditional probabilities of hospitalization due to influenza in Molinari et al. [14], the conditional probabilities of hospitalization due to individual complications in the population ≥65 years are >1. The discrepancy is a complication of the model and highlights the difficulty in estimating burden for a disease that is rarely confirmed with laboratory tests. The conditional probabilities of the individual complications due to influenza were sourced from the UK study of Meier et al. [20] for cases without antivirals, and a relative risk estimate was applied to Meier's data using the meta-analysis of Kaiser et al. [21] for cases with antivirals. The implication is that (1) estimates derived from Meier et al. [20] and Kaiser et al. [21] for individual influenza-related complications in the elderly in the United States are conservative, or (2) Molinari et al. [14] overestimates this burden.

Meier et al. (Meier et al. [20] estimated probabilities of influenza and complications in the primary care setting using the UK-based General Practice Research database, while Molinari et al. [14] used data from the National Center for Health Statistics and the National Hospitalization Discharge Survey to estimate excess rates of hospitalization and death due to influenza based on seasonality. We believe the Molinari estimates are more appropriate calibration targets for the present study because the data were empirically fitted to US epidemiology with a commonly accepted and validated regression model as utilized by the CDC. The implication is that our model is conservative in its estimation of complications and related impacts on direct non-hospital costs, indirect costs, life-years, and QALYs.

Outcomes Module Inputs

Table \$9 Ou	utcome prob	abilities					
Variable	Estimate	Low	High	Distribution	Source		
Probability of influenza symptoms given infection							
All ages	0.5	0.25	0.75	Beta	Carrat et al. [18]		
Probability	of medical c	onsultatio	n if sympto	omatic			
0–3	0.479	0.266	0.696	Beta			
4–6	0.394	0.224	0.578	Beta			
7–9	0.352	0.205	0.514	Beta			
10–19	0.353	0.216	0.504	Beta			
20–34	0.359	0.270	0.455	Beta	Molinari et al. [14]		
35–49	0.359	0.270	0.455	Beta			
50–64	0.416	0.285	0.553	Beta			
65–69	0.722	0.578	0.847	Beta			
70+	0.722	0.578	0.847	Beta			
Probability	of antivirals p	prescribed	if medica	l consultation			
0–3	0.277	0.257	0.298	Beta			
4–6	0.304	0.282	0.327	Beta			
7–9	0.333	0.309	0.357	Beta			
10–19	0.362	0.336	0.389	Beta	Linder et al. [10]		
20–34	0.425	0.394	0.456	Beta	LINGER ET GI. [17]		
35–49	0.523	0.485	0.561	Beta			
50–64	0.619	0.573	0.664	Beta			
65–69	0.679	0.628	0.727	Beta			
70+	0.706	0.654	0.757	Beta			

Complications

Probability of pneumonia if prescribed antivirals						
0–3	0.00200	0.00083	0.00368	Beta		
4–6	0.00200	0.00083	0.00368	Beta		
7–9	0.00200	0.00083	0.00368	Beta		
10–19	0.00036	0.00007	0.00088	Beta	Meier et al. [20];	
20–34	0.00043	0.00014	0.00087	Beta	Kaiser et al. [21]	
35–49	0.00043	0.00014	0.00087	Beta		
50–64	0.00071	0.00019	0.00158	Beta		
65+	0.00879	0.00679	0.01104	Beta		
Probability of pneumonia if not prescribed antivirals						
0–9	0.00200	0.00139	0.00272	Beta		
10–19	0.00250	0.00205	0.00299	Beta	Meier et al [20]	
20–64	0.00300	0.00261	0.00342	Beta		
65+	0.01157	0.01004	0.01320	Beta		
Probability o	of pneumonic	a if no med	lical consu	ltation		
0–9	0.00200	0.00139	0.00272	Beta		
10–19	0.00250	0.00205	0.00299	Beta	Meier et al [20]	
20–64	0.00300	0.00261	0.00342	Beta		
65+	0.01157	0.01004	0.01320	Beta		
Probability of bronchitis if prescribed antivirals						
0–9	0.00600	0.00377	0.00873	Beta		
10–19	0.00454	0.00318	0.00613	Beta		
20–49	0.00671	0.00534	0.00823	Beta	Meier et al. [20]; Kaiser et al. [21]	
50–64	0.01224	0.00948	0.01534	Beta		
65+	0.02003	0.01801	0.02215	Beta		

Probability of bronchitis if not prescribed antivirals 0–9 0.00700 0.00581 0.00830 Beta 10-19 0.00900 0.00813 0.00991 Beta 20-49 0.01100 0.01024 0.01179 Beta Meier et al. [20] 50-64 0.01900 0.01694 0.02117 Beta 65+ 0.03014 0.02766 0.03272 Beta Probability of bronchitis if no medical consultation 0–9 0.00700 0.00581 0.00830 Beta 10-19 0.00900 0.00813 0.00991 Beta 20-49 0.01100 0.01024 0.01179 Meier et al. [20] Beta 50-64 0.01900 0.01694 0.02117 Beta 65+ 0.03014 0.02766 0.03272 Beta Probability of other respiratory illness if prescribed antivirals 0–9 0.08200 0.073 0.091 Beta 10-19 0.01725 0.015 0.020 Beta Meier et al. [20]; Kaiser et al. [21] 20-64 0.01400 0.012 0.016 Beta 65+ 0.01961 0.018 0.022 Beta Probability of other respiratory illness if not prescribed antivirals 0–9 0.08600 0.082 0.090 Beta 10-19 0.06850 0.066 0.071 Beta 20-49 0.05100 0.049 0.053 Beta Meier et al. [20] 50-64 0.04500 0.042 0.048 Beta 65+ 0.04575 0.043 0.049 Beta Probability of other respiratory illness if no medical consultation 0–9 0.08600 0.082 0.090 Beta 10-19 0.06850 0.066 0.071 Beta Meier et al. [20] 20-49 0.05100 0.049 0.053 Beta 0.04500 0.042 50-64 0.048 Beta

65+	0.04575	0.043	0.049	Beta			
Probability of CVD if prescribed antivirals							
0–9	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	Beta			
10–19	0.00035	0.00007	0.00087	Beta			
20–49	0.00070	0.00031	0.00124	Beta	Meier et al. [20]		
50–64	0.00400	0.00250	0.00585	Beta			
65+	0.00387	0.00301	0.00485	Beta			
Probability of CVD if not prescribed antivirals							
0–9	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	Beta			
10–19	0.00005	0.00001	0.00014	Beta			
20–49	0.00010	0.00004	0.00019	Beta	Meier et al. [20]		
50–64	0.00040	0.00015	0.00076	Beta			
65+	0.00387	0.00301	0.00485	Beta			
Probability of CVD if no medical consultation							
0–9	0.00000	0.00000	0.00000	Beta			
10–19	0.00005	0.00001	0.00014	Beta	Major et el [20]		
20–64	0.00010	0.00004	0.00019	Beta			
65+	0.00387	0.00301	0.00485	Beta			
Probability of otitis media if prescribed antivirals							
0–9	0.04100	0.03485	0.04763	Beta			
10–19	0.02450	0.02122	0.02801	Beta			
20–49	0.00800	0.00650	0.00966	Beta	Meier et al. [20]		
50–64	0.00300	0.00172	0.00462	Beta			
65+	0.00200	0.00139	0.00271	Beta			
Probability of otitis media if not prescribed antivirals							
0–9	0.04000	0.037	0.043	Beta			
10–19	0.02350	0.022	0.025	Beta	Meier et al. [20]		
20–49	0.00700	0.006	0.008	Beta			

50–64	0.00300	0.002	0.004	Beta				
65+	0.00200	0.001	0.003	Beta				
Probability of otitis media if no medical consultation								
0–9	0.04000	0.037	0.043	Beta				
10–19	0.02350	0.022	0.025	Beta				
20–49	0.00700	0.006	0.008	Beta	Meier et al. [20]			
50–64	0.00300	0.002	0.004	Beta				
65+	0.00200	0.001	0.003	Beta				
Hospitalization due to complications: all causes								
0–3	0.2989	0.2420	0.3591	Beta				
4–6	0.1081	0.0878	0.1301	Beta				
7–9	0.0127	0.0103	0.0153	Beta				
10–19	0.0363	0.0295	0.0438	Beta	Calibrated to fit total			
20–34	0.1436	0.1166	0.1729	Beta	hospitalizations by age cohort based			
35–49	0.1474	0.1197	0.1775	Beta	on Molinari et al. [14]			
50–64	0.6653	0.5293	0.7887	Beta				
65–69	1.1663	1.1663	1.1663	Beta				
70+	1.1791	1.1791	1.1791	Beta				
Case fatality of complications in hospital: all causes								
0–3	0.0008	0.0007	0.0010	Beta				
4–6	0.0004	0.0003	0.0005	Beta	Calibrated to fit total deaths by age cohort based on Molinari et al. [14]			
7–9	0.0002	0.0002	0.0003	Beta				
10–19	0.0007	0.0006	0.0008	Beta				
20–34	0.0030	0.0024	0.0036	Beta				
35–49	0.0031	0.0025	0.0037	Beta				
50–64	0.0458	0.0372	0.0552	Beta				
65–69	0.3288	0.2660	0.3948	Beta				
70+	0.3328	0.2693	0.3996	Beta				

Case fatality of complications outside of hospital

Pneumonia						
0–3	0.0014	0.0012	0.0015	Beta	Myles et al. [22]	
4–6	0.0007	0.0006	0.0008	Beta	Assumed case	
7–9	0.0003	0.0003	0.0004	Beta	tatality is higher than hospitalized patients;	
10–19	0.0011	0.0010	0.0013	Beta	observed 18.5% 30-day mortality from	
20–34	0.0048	0.0043	0.0054	Beta	pneumonia in general practice,	
35–49	0.0050	0.0044	0.0056	Beta	which is higher than the 30-day mortality	
50–64	0.0737	0.0650	0.0829	Beta	of 8–15% reported in hospital-based	
65–69	0.5290	0.4643	0.5931	Beta	studies. Standard deviation as for	
70+	0.5354	0.4700	0.6003	Beta	hospital	
Bronchitis, o	ther respirato	Assumed same as hospitalized patients				
Otitis media						
All	0	0	0	Not applicable	Assumed no case fatality	

CVD cardiovascular disease

REFERENCES

- 1. Del Valle SY, Hyman JM, Hethcote HW, Eubank SG. Mixing patterns between age groups in social networks. Soc Netw. 2007;29:539–54.
- US Department of Commerce. Current Population Survey (CPS) A Joint Effort Between the Bureau of Labor Statistics and the Census Bureau – People and Households – US Census Bureau [online document]. 2012. http://www.census.gov/cps/ (accessed October 27, 2014).
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). Update: influenza activity United States, 2010–11 season, and composition of the 2011–12 influenza vaccine. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep. 2011;60:705–12.
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). Update: influenza activity United States, 2011–12 season and composition of the 2012–13 influenza vaccine. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep. 2012;61:414–20.
- 5. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). Influenza activity United States, 2012– 13 season and composition of the 2013–14 influenza vaccine. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep. 2013;62:473–9.
- 6. Reed C, Meltzer MI, Finelli L, Fiore A. Public health impact of including two lineages of influenza B in a quadrivalent seasonal influenza vaccine. Vaccine. 2012;30:1993–8.
- 7. Epperson S, Blanton L, Kniss K, et al, on behalf of the Influenza Division NCfl, Respiratory Diseases CDC. Influenza activity – United States, 2013–14 season and composition of the 2014–15 influenza vaccines. MMWR Morb Mortal Wkly Rep. 2014;63:483–90.
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). Flu Vaccination Coverage, United States, 2013–14 Influenza Season | FluVaxView | Seasonal Influenza (Flu) | CDC [online document]. 2014. http://www.cdc.gov/flu/fluvaxview/coverage-1314estimates.htm (accessed October 27, 2014).
- 9. Beckmann Bio. Data on file, 2013. Nivelles, Belgium.

- Tricco AC, Chit A, Soobiah C, et al. Comparing influenza vaccine efficacy against mismatched and matched strains: a systematic review and meta-analysis. BMC Med. 2013;11:153.
- 11. DiazGranados CA, Denis M, Plotkin S. Seasonal influenza vaccine efficacy and its determinants in children and non-elderly adults: A systematic review with metaanalyses of controlled trials. Vaccine. 2012;31:49–57.
- Blyth CC, Jacoby P, Effler PV, et al, on behalf of the WAIVE Study Team. Effectiveness of trivalent flu vaccine in healthy young children. Pediatrics.
 2014;133:e1218–e1225.
- 13. Mannino S, Villa M, Apolone G, et al. Effectiveness of adjuvanted influenza vaccination in elderly subjects in northern Italy. Am J Epidemiol. 2012;176:527–33.
- 14. Molinari N-AM, Ortega-Sanchez IR, Messonnier ML, et al. The annual impact of seasonal influenza in the US: measuring disease burden and costs. Vaccine. 2007;25:5086–96.
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). Flu Vaccination Coverage, United States, 2013–14 Influenza Season | FluVaxView | Seasonal Influenza (Flu) | CDC [online document]. 2014. http://www.cdc.gov/flu/fluvaxview/coverage-1314estimates.htm (accessed October 27, 2014).
- 16. Katriel G, Stone L. Pandemic influenza dynamics and the breakdown of herd immunity. PLoS Curr. 2009;1:RRN1046.

17. Seasonal Flu [online document]. 2015. Flu.gov.

http://www.flu.gov/about_the_flu/seasonal/index.html (accessed June 12, 2015).

- Carrat F, Vergu E, Ferguson NM, et al. Time lines of infection and disease in human influenza: a review of volunteer challenge studies. Am J Epidemiol. 2008;167:775–85.
- 19. Linder JA, Nieva HR, Blumentals WA. Antiviral and antibiotic prescribing for influenza in primary care. J Gen Intern Med. 2009;24:504–10.
- 20. Meier CR, Napalkov PN, Wegmüller Y, Jefferson T, Jick H. Population-based study on incidence, risk factors, clinical complications and drug utilisation associated with influenza in the United Kingdom. Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis. 2000;19:834–42.

- 21. Kaiser L, Wat C, Mills T, Mahoney P, Ward P, Hayden F. Impact of oseltamivir treatment on influenza-related lower respiratory tract complications and hospitalizations. Arch Intern Med. 2003;163:1667–72.
- 22. Myles PR, Hubbard RB, Gibson JE, Pogson Z, Smith CJP, McKeever TM. Pneumonia mortality in a UK general practice population cohort. Eur J Public Health. 2009;19:521–6.
- 23. Biggerstaff M, Cauchemez S, Reed C, Gambhir M, Finelli L. Estimates of the reproduction number for seasonal, pandemic, and zoonotic influenza: a systematic review of the literature. BMC Infect Dis. 2014;14:480.
- 24. Chowell G, Miller MA, Viboud C. Seasonal influenza in the United States, France, and Australia: transmission and prospects for control. Epidemiol Infect. 2008;136:852–64.
- 25. Diekmann O, Heesterbeek JA, Roberts MG. The construction of next-generation matrices for compartmental epidemic models. J R Soc Interface. 2010; 7:873–85.
- 26. Cowling BJ, Fang VJ, Riley S, Malik Peiris JS, Leung GM. Estimation of the serial interval of influenza. Epidemiology. 2009;20:344–7.
- 27. Fraser C, Donnelly CA, Cauchemez S, et al, on behalf of the WHO Rapid Pandemic Assessment Collaboration. Pandemic potential of a strain of influenza A (H1N1): early findings. Science. 2009;324:1557–61.
- 28. Li J, Blakeley D, Smith RJ. The failure of RO. Comput Math Methods Med. 2011;2011:527610.
- 29. Wearing HJ, Rohani P, Keeling MJ. Appropriate models for the management of infectious diseases. PLoS Med. 2005;2:e174.