Elliott et al., Engaging Older Adults in Health Care Decision-Making: A Realist Synthesis

Elliott et al., Engaging Older Adults in Health Care Decision-Making: A Realist Synthesis																			
										Older					Barriers	Facilitators			
										Adult	Engagement								
Ref ID	Author	Date	Focus/ Purpose of Article	Type of Evidence	Results	Setting	Popula	ation		Focus	Strategy	Domains	Spectrum Level				С	M	0
									Patient/ Disease										
25	Armstrong et	2013	Characterize patient involvement in	Qualitative	Identified specific	Secondary care, primary	UK	N/A	Lung cancer,	No	Collection of		Involve		Acknowledge that barriers might	1) Early involvement, 2) effective			
	al.		three improvement projects and to	(ethnographic	strategies that can be used	care, community			abdominal aortic		patient	Preferences			exist, but did not mention what	communication channels, 3) non-			
			identify strengths and weaknesses	approach)	to help ensure that patient				aneurysm, chronic		experience				barriers might exist	heirarchical structure, 4) a clearly			
			of contrasting approaches.		involvement works most				kidney disease		data; focus					defined role, 5) clarity on rationale			
					effectively:						groups;					for patient involvement, 6)			
											patient and					identifying the right model to			
											service					achieve the desired outcomes (no			
											advisory					one-size-fits-all), 7) clear roles and		clarifying	
											group					responsibilities for patients, 8)		roles;	
																invovlement that is meaningful	patient	effective	
																	level;	communic	
																	clinic	ation	engagement
16	Muthalagapp	2013	Explore the ethical background	Quantitative	Patients who are engaged	Community	N/A	N/A	End stage renal	Yes	Shared	Patients'	Collaborate	Involve	1) Paternalistic physician practice,	Components of shared decision			
	an		behind shared decision-making and		in decision-making are				disease and		decision-	Preferences			2) lack of education skills, 3)	making are 1) truth telling, 2)			
			whether it is genuinely in the		more motivated and their				comorbidities		making				difficulty assimilating complex	sufficient information, 3) access to			
			patients' best interests		clinical outcomes are										information, 4) need to	information, 4) physician-patient			
					greatly improved										individualize the correct balance in	relationship, 5) good			
															the amount of information, 5)	communication, 6) relevant			
															patients and families may feel	evidence, 7) trust, 8) pros and cons,			
															abandoned if they are not	9) all options presented, and 10)			
															supported after deciding not to	ideas, concerns and expectations.			
															have dialysis, 6) patients may	The 2 discussed in detail were truth			
															prefer not to be involved (needs to	telling and the physician-patient			
															be tailored), 7) language barriers,	relationship			
															8) low health literacy, 9)				
												1			emotionally draining for patient				
															and family, 10) time-consuming and				
															11) burdensome		patient,	trust;	
															,		provider,	communic	
																	clinic	ation	relationship