Electronic Supplementary File 2. Modified Downs and Black Criteria for Quality Appraisal

Article title: Quality of life and life satisfaction in former athletes: a systematic review and metaanalysis

Authors: Stephanie Filbay*, Tej Pandya, Bryn Thomas, Carly McKay, Jo Adams, Nigel Arden

*Corresponding author details: Centre for Sport, Exercise and Osteoarthritis Research Versus Arthritis; Nuffield Department of Orthopaedics, Rheumatology and Musculoskeletal Sciences, University of Oxford, Oxford, United Kingdom. Email: stephanie.filbay@uq.net.au

Scoring: YES = (1); NO = (0) Unable to determine: UTD = (0)

CRITERIA	EXPLANATION/ INTERPRETATION
1. Is the	Must be explicit
hypothesis/aim/objective of	
the study clearly described?	
2. Are the main outcomes to be	NO = If a main outcome is first mentioned in the results section
measured clearly described in	YES = if all primary outcomes are described in methods or
the introduction or methods	introduction (i.e. reproducible).
section?	
3. Are the characteristics of the	YES = if clear inclusion and/or exclusion criteria given.
participants included in the	
study clearly described?	
4 Aro the distributions of	VES – if again gondor and one or more confounder is described
4. Are the distributions of	res – Il age, gender and one of more comoditider is described.
group of subjects to be	
compared clearly described?	
5. Are the main findings of the	YES = All major findings clearly described so that the reader can
study clearly described?	check the major analyses and conclusions. Simple outcome data
	(including denominators, numerators) should be reported for all
	major findings.
	NO = If only percentages or only p values are reported for main
	findings.
6. Does the study provide	For non-normally distributed data the inter-quartile range of
estimates of the random	results should be reported. In normally distributed data the
variability in the data for the	standard error, standard deviation or confidence intervals should
main outcomes?	be reported.
	YES = (mean + SD/SE/CI) OR (median + range/IQR).
7.11	NO = mean + range/IQR OR if variability not reported.
7. Have the characteristics of	For studies including follow-up:
patients lost to follow-up (of	FES = If no patients lost to follow-up; of $<5\%$ loss to follow-up; of tables up (such as ago
described?	render that they did not differ from the rest of the cohort etc.
described:	Note stating the reasons why they were lost to follow-up is not
	sufficient.

	 NO = if not explicitly stated how many lost to follow-up or does not say anything about the characteristics of those lost to follow-up. For cross-sectional studies: YES = if response rate >80%; or tells us something about non-responders (such as age, gender, that they did not differ from the rest of the cohort etc.). Note, stating the reasons why they did not
	respond is not sufficient. NO = if response rate <80% and does not explicitly state response rate and does not say anything about the characteristics of the non-responders
8. Have actual probability values been reported (e.g. 0.035 rather than <0.05) for the main outcomes except where the probability value is less than 0.001?	Additional explanation not necessary.
9. Were the subjects asked to participate in the study representative of the entire population from which they were recruited?	 YES = if the source of the population and how they were selected is stated; or if stated that <u>all</u> of a population were selected; or an unselected sample of consecutive patients were selected; or a random sample from an entire population were selected. UTD = If the study does not state what proportion that sample was from the source population. NO = if unable to answer yes to any of the above criteria set for YES or UTD.
10. Were those subjects who were prepared to participate representative of the entire population from which they were recruited?	 YES = if the study reports how many agreed to participate and compares one or more confounder with those agreeing and those not agreeing to participate (ie, that they did not differ from the source population, or mean age, or gender etc.) OR YES = if all of a population were selected. UTD = if they did not state how many agreed to participate from the source population, or if they did not compare those agreeing with those not agreeing to participate. NO = if significant difference was reported in sample compared to population.
11. Was the sample appropriately described with regards to sport-related characteristics?	YES = if the type and standard of previous sport participation (professional, college, or recreational) is described and either the length of career or age at retirement is described
12. Were the main outcome measures used accurate (valid and reliable)?	 YES = If QOL measures are clearly described, and references other article(s) which found outcome measure to be valid and reliable, or demonstrates the outcome measure(s) are valid and reliable (note all primary outcomes must be valid and reliable for YES). NO = If primary outcomes were not explained in reproducible detail, or validity and reliability not proven/reported.
13. Was there adequate adjustment for confounding in the analyses from which the main findings were drawn?	In non-randomised studies if the effect of the main confounders (gender, age + 1 more) was not investigated or no adjustment was made in the final analyses the question should be answered as = NO .

	YES = If no significant difference in confounders (age, gender + 1 more) between groups stated/shown. YES = If adjustments were made for 1 or more confounders in the analysis.
14. Did the study have sufficient power to detect a clinically important effect	YES = if sample size was calculated and sample size was sufficient to detect a clinically important effect where the probability value for a difference being due to chance <5%.
where the probability value for a difference being due to chance <5%	NO = if no sample size calculation, or calculation found insufficient sample size to detect clinically important effect in primary outcome(s).