NEWCASTLE - OTTAWA QUALITY ASSESSMENT SCALE COHORT STUDIES

<u>Note</u>: A study can be awarded a maximum of one star for each numbered item within the Selection and Outcome categories. A maximum of two stars can be given for Comparability

Selection	
a) truly representative of the average b) somewhat representative of the average c) selected group of users eg nurses, volund) no description of the derivation of the control of the derivation of the control of the	nteers
 2) Selection of the non exposed cohort a) drawn from the same community as the b) drawn from a different source c) no description of the derivation of the results. 	•
3) Ascertainment of exposure a) secure record (eg surgical records) * b) structured interview * c) written self report d) no description	
4) Demonstration that outcome of interest wa a) yes b) no	as not present at start of study
Comparability	
1) Comparability of cohorts on the basis of the a) study controls for (selection b) study controls for any additional factor control for a second important factor.) Outcome	
1) Assessment of outcome a) independent blind assessment * b) record linkage * c) self report d) no description	
2) Was follow-up long enough for outcomes a) yes (select an adequate follow up period b) no	
a) Adequacy of follow up of cohorts a) complete follow up - all subjects accou b) subjects lost to follow up unlikely to in adequate %) follow up, or description p c) follow up rate < % (select an adeq	troduce bias - small number lost - > % (select an rovided of those lost) $*$

d) no statement