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## Stereological $\alpha$-synuclein, NeuN, Iba-1, GFAP quantification

Table S8. Statistical data of stereological $\alpha$-synuclein quantification.

| Area | Group (TG mice) | Comparisons (cells/mm ${ }^{3}$ ) | t-test | P value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| OB | Saline-injection | Left hemisphere $\times$ Right hemisphere | $\mathrm{t}_{6}=6.948$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.0004^{* * *}$ |
|  | $\alpha$-syn-injection | Left hemisphere $\times$ Right hemisphere | $\mathrm{t}_{4}=1.605$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.1838$ |
|  | $\alpha$-syn-injection x Salineinjection | Right hemisphere $\times$ Right hemisphere | $\mathrm{t}_{5}=2.080$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.0921$ |
|  | $\alpha$-syn-injection x Salineinjection | Left hemisphere $\times$ Left hemisphere | $\mathrm{t}_{5}=3.805$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.0126^{*}$ |
| AON | Saline-injection | Left hemisphere $\times$ Right hemisphere | $\mathrm{t}_{6}=3.197$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.0187 *$ |
|  | $\alpha$-syn-injection | Left hemisphere $\times$ Right hemisphere | $\mathrm{t}_{4}=1.315$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.2588$ |
|  | $\alpha$-syn-injection x Salineinjection | Right hemisphere $\times$ Right hemisphere | $\mathrm{t}_{5}=2.310$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.0689$ |
|  | $\alpha$-syn-injection x Salineinjection | Left hemisphere $\times$ Left hemisphere | $\mathrm{t}_{5}=2.104$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.0893$ |
| Pir | Saline-injection | Left hemisphere $\times$ Right hemisphere | $\mathrm{t}_{6}=0.334$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.7493$ |
|  | $\alpha$-syn-injection | Left hemisphere $\times$ Right hemisphere | $\mathrm{t}_{4}=0.115$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.9136$ |
|  | $\alpha$-syn-injection x Salineinjection | Right hemisphere $\times$ Right hemisphere | $\mathrm{t}_{5}=2.225$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.0767$ |
|  | $\alpha$-syn-injection x Salineinjection | Left hemisphere $\times$ Left hemisphere | $\mathrm{t}_{5}=2.514$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.0536$ |

Table S9. Statistical data of NeuN quantification (Mann-Whitney test).

| Area | Source of variation | P value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| OB | WT-saline-RH x TG-saline-RH | $\mathrm{P}=0.0286$ * |
|  | WT-saline-LH x TG-saline-LH | $\mathrm{P}=0.0286$ * |
|  | WT- $\alpha$-RH $\times$ TG- $\alpha$-RH | $\mathrm{P}=0.2286$ |
|  | WT- $\alpha$-LH x TG- $\alpha$-LH | $\mathrm{P}=0.1143$ |
|  | TG-saline-RH x TG- $\alpha$-RH | $\mathrm{P}=0.0571$ |
|  | TG-saline-LH x TG- $\alpha$-LH | $\mathrm{P}=0.0571$ |
|  | WT-saline-RH x WT- $\alpha$-RH | $\mathrm{P}=0.4857$ |
|  | WT-saline-LH x WT- $\alpha$-LH | $\mathrm{P}=0.1143$ |
| AON | WT-saline-RH x TG-saline-RH | $\mathrm{P}=0.0286 *$ |
|  | WT-saline-LH x TG-saline-LH | $\mathrm{P}=0.0286^{*}$ |
|  | WT- $\alpha$-RH x TG- $\alpha$-RH | $\mathrm{P}=0.4000$ |
|  | WT- $\alpha$-LH x TG- $\alpha$-LH | $\mathrm{P}=0.0571$ |
|  | TG-saline-RH x TG- $\alpha$-RH | $\mathrm{P}=0.0571$ |
|  | TG-saline-LH x TG- $\alpha$-LH | $\mathrm{P}=0.0571$ |
|  | WT-saline-RH x WT- $\alpha$-RH | $\mathrm{P}=0.4857$ |
|  | WT-saline-LH x WT- $\alpha$-LH | $\mathrm{P}=0.8286$ |
| Pir | WT-saline-RH x TG-saline-RH | $\mathrm{P}=0.0286$ * |
|  | WT-saline-LH x TG-saline-LH | $\mathrm{P}=0.0286^{*}$ |
|  | WT- $\alpha$-RH x TG- $\alpha$-RH | $\mathrm{P}>0.9999$ |
|  | WT- $\alpha$-LH x TG- $\alpha$-LH | $\mathrm{P}=0.2286$ |
|  | TG-saline-RH x TG- $\alpha$-RH | $\mathrm{P}=0.0571$ |
|  | TG-saline-LH x TG- $\alpha$-LH | $\mathrm{P}=0.0571$ |
|  | WT-saline-RH x WT- $\alpha$-RH | $\mathrm{P}=0.0286^{*}$ |
|  | WT-saline-LH x WT- $\alpha$-LH | $\mathrm{P}>0.9999$ |

Table S10. Statistical data of stereological Iba-1 quantification. Comparison of genotype in the right hemisphere.

| Area | Source of variation | $\mathrm{F}(\mathrm{DFn}, \mathrm{DFd})$ | P value |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| GL | Interaction | $\mathrm{F}(1,11)=0.04697$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.8324$ |
|  | Treatment | $\mathrm{F}(1,11)=1.256$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.2863$ |
|  | Genotype | $\mathrm{F}(1,11)=0.3596$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.5609$ |
| MiL | Interaction | $\mathrm{F}(1,11)=0.06955$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.7969$ |
|  | Treatment | $\mathrm{F}(1,11)=1.053$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.3268$ |
|  | Genotype | $\mathrm{F}(1,11)=1.448$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.2541$ |
| IPL | Interaction | $\mathrm{F}(1,11)=4.943$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.0481^{*}(\mathrm{t})$ |
|  | Treatment | $\mathrm{F}(1,11)=0.8695$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.3711$ |
|  | Genotype | $\mathrm{F}(1,11)=4.519$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.0570$ |
| GrL | Interaction | $\mathrm{F}(1,11)=0.3797$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.5503$ |
|  | Treatment | $\mathrm{F}(1,11)=1.604$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.2315$ |
|  | Genotype | $\mathrm{F}(1,11)=0.02487$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.8775$ |
| AON | Interaction | $\mathrm{F}(1,11)=1.013$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.3358$ |
|  | Treatment | $\mathrm{F}(1,11)=3.076$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.1072$ |
|  | Genotype | $\mathrm{F}(1,11)=0.1085$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.8486$ |
| Pir | Interaction | $\mathrm{F}(1,11)=0.03820$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.9107$ |
|  | Treatment | $\mathrm{F}(1,11)=0.01318$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.2573$ |
|  | Genotype | $\mathrm{F}(1,11)=1.427$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.5787$ |

(t) TG- $\alpha$-right x TG-S-right: $\mathrm{t}_{5}=3.410 ; \mathrm{P}=0.0190$.

Table S11. Statistical data of stereological Iba-1 quantification. Comparison of genotype in the left hemisphere.

| Area | Source of variation | F (DFn, DFd) | P value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GL | Interaction | $\mathrm{F}(1,11)=3.982$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.0714$ |
|  | Treatment | $\mathrm{F}(1,11)=0.1076$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.7490$ |
|  | Genotype | $\mathrm{F}(1,11)=0.05624$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.8169$ |
| EPL | Interaction | $\mathrm{F}(1,11)=0.1320$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.7233$ |
|  | Treatment | $\mathrm{F}(1,11)=2.039$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.1811$ |
|  | Genotype | $\mathrm{F}(1,11)=1.927$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.1925$ |
| MiL | Interaction | F (1, 11) = 3.576 | $\mathrm{P}=0.0852$ (t1) |
|  | Treatment | $\mathrm{F}(1,11)=1.189$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.2989$ |
|  | Genotype | $\mathrm{F}(1,11)=0.7315$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.4106$ |
| IPL | Interaction | $\mathrm{F}(1,11)=4.346 \mathrm{e}-005$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.9949$ |
|  | Treatment | $\mathrm{F}(1,11)=2.225$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.1639$ |
|  | Genotype | $\mathrm{F}(1,11)=0.2819$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.6060$ |
| GrL | Interaction | $\mathrm{F}(1,11)=2.116$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.1737$ |
|  | Treatment | $\mathrm{F}(1,11)=0.004102$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.9501$ |
|  | Genotype | $\mathrm{F}(1,11)=0.3776$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.5514$ |
| AON | Interaction | $\mathrm{F}(1,11)=0.7252$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.4126$ |
|  | Treatment | $\mathrm{F}(1,11)=0.2762$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.6096$ |
|  | Genotype | $\mathrm{F}(1,11)=0.002602$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.9602$ |
| Pir | Interaction | $\mathrm{F}(1,11)=0.4845$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.5008$ |
|  | Treatment | $\mathrm{F}(1,11)=0.6570$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.4348$ (t2) |
|  | Genotype | $\mathrm{F}(1,11)=0.05178$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.8242$ |

(t1) TG- $\alpha$-left $\times$ TG-S-left: $\mathrm{t}_{5}=3.626 ; \mathrm{P}=0.0151$.
(t2) TG- $\alpha$-left $\times$ TG-S-left: $\mathrm{t}_{5}=2.620 ; \mathrm{P}=0.0471$.

Table S12. Statistical data of stereological Iba-1 quantification. Comparison of hemispheres in WT.

| Area | Source of variation | F (DFn, DFd) | $P$ value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GL | Interaction | F (3, 26) = 1.253 | $\mathrm{P}=0.3108$ |
|  | Treatment $\times$ Time | $\mathrm{F}(3,26)=52.42$ | $\mathrm{P}<0.0001^{* * * *}$ |
|  | Hemisphere | $\mathrm{F}(1,26)=0.01173$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.9146$ |
| EPL | Interaction | $\mathrm{F}(3,26)=1.470$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.2456$ |
|  | Treatment $\times$ Time | $\mathrm{F}(3,26)=82.29$ | $\mathrm{P}<0.0001^{* * * *}$ |
|  | Hemisphere | $\mathrm{F}(1,26)=0.2745$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.6047$ |
| MiL | Interaction | $\mathrm{F}(3,26)=0.1273$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.9431$ |
|  | Treatment $\times$ Time | $F(3,26)=39.19$ | $\mathrm{P}<0.0001^{* * * *}$ |
|  | Hemisphere | $\mathrm{F}(1,26)=0.1012$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.7530$ |
| IPL | Interaction | $F(3,26)=0.1904$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.9020$ |
|  | Treatment $\times$ Time | $\mathrm{F}(3,26)=36.83$ | $\mathrm{P}<0.0001^{* * * *}$ |
|  | Hemisphere | $\mathrm{F}(1,26)=0.05854$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.8107$ |
| GrL | Interaction | $\mathrm{F}(3,26)=1.304$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.2944$ |
|  | Treatment $\times$ Time | $\mathrm{F}(3,26)=149.7$ | $\mathrm{P}<0.0001^{* * * *}$ |
|  | Hemisphere | $\mathrm{F}(1,26)=1.179$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.2876$ |
| AON | Interaction | F (3, 26) = 1.304 | $\mathrm{P}=0.2944$ |
|  | Treatment $\times$ Time | $\mathrm{F}(3,26)=149.7$ | $\mathrm{P}<0.0001^{* * * *}$ |
|  | Hemisphere | F (1, 26) $=1.179$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.2876$ |
| Pir | Interaction | $\mathrm{F}(3,26)=0.06495$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.9779$ |
|  | Treatment $\times$ Time | F (3, 26) $=35.75$ | $\mathrm{P}<0.0001^{* * * *}$ |
|  | Hemisphere | F (1, 26) $=0.001407$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.9704$ |

Table S13. Statistical data of stereological Iba-1 quantification. Comparison of hemispheres in TG.

| Area | Source of variation | F (DFn, DFd) | P value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| GL | Interaction | $\mathrm{F}(1,10)=0.1615$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.6962$ |
|  | Treatment | F (1, 10) = 2.842 | $\mathrm{P}=0.1227$ |
|  | Hemisphere | $\mathrm{F}(1,10)=0.3787$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.5520$ |
| EPL | Interaction | $\mathrm{F}(1,10)=1.320$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.2774$ |
|  | Treatment | $F(1,10)=0.006174$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.9389$ |
|  | Hemisphere | $\mathrm{F}(1,10)=0.9160$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.3611$ |
| MiL | Interaction | $\mathrm{F}(1,10)=0.1243$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.7318$ |
|  | Treatment | $\mathrm{F}(1,10)=24.35$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.0006^{* * *}$ |
|  | Hemisphere | F (1, 10) = 3.098 | $\mathrm{P}=0.1089$ |
| IPL | Interaction | $\mathrm{F}(1,10)=0.08663$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.7745$ |
|  | Treatment | $\mathrm{F}(1,10)=1.997$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.1880$ |
|  | Hemisphere | $\mathrm{F}(1,10)=0.06282$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.8072$ |
| GrL | Interaction | $\mathrm{F}(1,10)=0.3451$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.5699$ |
|  | Treatment | $\mathrm{F}(1,10)=3.261$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.1011$ |
|  | Hemisphere | $\mathrm{F}(1,10)=0.001080$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.9744$ |
| AON | Interaction | $\mathrm{F}(1,10)=0.1234$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.7326$ |
|  | Treatment | $F(1,10)=0.002316$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.9626$ |
|  | Hemisphere | $\mathrm{F}(1,10)=0.2034$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.6616$ |
| Pir | Interaction | F (1, 10) = 7.081 | $\mathrm{P}=0.0239 *$ (t) |
|  | Treatment | $F(1,10)=0.007362$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.9333$ |
|  | Hemisphere | $\mathrm{F}(1,10)=0.07785$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.7859$ |

(t) TG- $\alpha$-left $\times$ TG-S-left: $\mathrm{t}_{5}=2.620 ; \mathrm{P}=0.0471$.

Table S14. Statistical data of GFAP quantification. Comparison of genotype in the right hemisphere.

| Area | Source of variation | $\mathrm{F}(\mathrm{DFn}, \mathrm{DFd})$ | P value |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| OB | Interaction | $\mathrm{F}(1,11)=0.2590$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.6208$ |
|  | Treatment | $\mathrm{F}(1,11)=0.6954$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.4221$ |
|  | Genotype | $\mathrm{F}(1,11)=32.13$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.0001^{* * *}$ |
| AON | Interaction | $\mathrm{F}(1,11)=1.121$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.3123$ |
|  | Treatment | $\mathrm{F}(1,11)=3.740$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.0793$ |
|  | Genotype | $\mathrm{F}(1,11)=11.73$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.0057^{* *}(\mathrm{t})$ |
| Pir | Interaction | $\mathrm{F}(1,11)=0.3859$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.5621$ |
|  | Treatment | $\mathrm{F}(1,11)=0.3573$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.1094$ |
|  | Genotype | $\mathrm{F}(1,11)=3.034$ |  |

(t) WT saline $2 \mathrm{~m}-\mathrm{TG}$ saline 2 m : $\mathrm{t}_{6}=3.134$; $\mathrm{P}=0.0202$.

Table S15. Statistical data of GFAP quantification. Comparison of genotype in the left hemisphere.

| Area | Source of variation | $\mathrm{F}(\mathrm{DFn}, \mathrm{DFd})$ | P value |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| OB | Interaction | $\mathrm{F}(1,11)=0.9921$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.3406$ |
|  | Treatment | $\mathrm{F}(1,11)=0.08698$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.7735$ |
|  | Genotype | $\mathrm{F}(1,11)=22.10$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.0006^{* * *}$ |
| AON | Interaction | $\mathrm{F}(1,11)=0.8663$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.3720$ |
|  | Treatment | $\mathrm{F}(1,11)=0.03401$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.8570$ |
|  | Genotype | $\mathrm{F}(1,11)=30.14$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.0002^{* * *}$ |
| Pir | Interaction | $\mathrm{F}(1,11)=1.2814$ |  |
|  | Treatment | $\mathrm{F}(1,11)=0.4568$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.5131$ |
|  | Genotype | $\mathrm{F}(1,11)=1.287$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.2807$ |

Table S16. Statistical data of GFAP quantification. Comparison of hemispheres in WT.

| Area | Source of variation | $\mathrm{F}(\mathrm{DFn}, \mathrm{DFd})$ | P value |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| OB | Interaction | $\mathrm{F}(3,26)=0.2841$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.8364$ |
|  | Treatment | $\mathrm{F}(3,26)=4.233$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.0146^{*}$ |
|  | Hemisphere | $\mathrm{F}(1,26)=1.315$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.2619$ |
| AON | Interaction | $\mathrm{F}(3,26)=0.05543$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.9824$ |
|  | Treatment | $\mathrm{F}(3,26)=2.106$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.1239$ |
|  | Hemisphere | $\mathrm{F}(1,26)=0.5590$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.4614$ |
| Pir | Interaction | $\mathrm{F}(3,26)=1.279$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.3024$ |
|  | Treatment | $\mathrm{F}(3,26)=2.273$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.1038$ |
|  | Hemisphere | $\mathrm{F}(1,26)=0.6240$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.4367$ |

Table S17. Statistical data of GFAP quantification. Comparison of hemispheres in TG.

| Area | Source of variation | $\mathrm{F}(\mathrm{DFn}, \mathrm{DFd})$ | P value |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| OB | Interaction | $\mathrm{F}(1,10)=0.9984$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.3413$ |
|  | Treatment | $\mathrm{F}(1,10)=0.009101$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.9259$ |
|  | Hemisphere | $\mathrm{F}(1,10)=0.6813$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.4284$ |
| AON | Interaction | $\mathrm{F}(1,10)=3.763$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.0811$ |
|  | Treatment | $\mathrm{F}(1,10)=2.085$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.1793$ |
|  | Hemisphere | $\mathrm{F}(1,10)=0.55435$ |  |
| Pir | Interaction | $\mathrm{F}(1,10)=0.03984$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.8458$ |
|  | Treatment | $\mathrm{F}(1,10)=1.002$ | $\mathrm{P}=0.3405$ |
|  | Hemisphere | $\mathrm{F}(1,10)=0.05028$ |  |

### 1.1 Supplementary Figures



Fig. S6 AON injection site labeled with different markers. $\alpha$-synuclein (a-h), Iba-1 (i-p) and GFAP ( $\mathbf{q}-\mathbf{x}$ ). Scale bars: a-d, i-l, q-t, $500 \mu \mathrm{~m}$; e-h, m-p, u-x $250 \mu \mathrm{~m}$. For abbreviations, see list.


Fig. S7 $\alpha$-synucleinopathy in the caudate-putamen (a-l). Arrows indicate $\alpha$-synuclein aggregates around striosomes (organization of afferent and efferent fibers in the striatum) (a-d). These aggregates were not found in saline-injected and $\alpha$-synuclein-injected WT animals (e-l). Scale bars: $50 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.


Fig. S8 $\alpha$-synucleinopathy in the substantia nigra (a-l). Arrows indicate $\alpha$-synuclein aggregates, mainly in substantia nigra compact part (SNC) (a-d). These aggregates were not observed in salineinjected and $\alpha$-synuclein-injected WT animals (e-l). Scale bars: $50 \mu \mathrm{~m}$.

