Author(s): Date: Question: Robotic-assisted surgery compared to laparoscopic surgery for rectal cancer surgery Setting: Bibliography:

Certainty assessment							№ of patients		Effect			
Nº of studies	Study design	Risk of bias	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Other considerations	Robotic- assisted surgery	laparoscopic surgery	Relative (95% Cl)	Absolute (95% CI)	Certainty	Importance
leus												
31	observational studies	not serious	not serious	not serious	not serious	none	342/4406 (7.8%)	1970/16796 (11.7%)	OR 0.86 (0.75 to 0.98)	15 fewer per 1.000 (from 27 fewer to 2 fewer)	⊕⊕⊖O Low	
Urinary r	etention											
19	observational studies	not serious	not serious	not serious	not serious	strong association	56/1844 (3.0%)	112/2625 (4.3%)	OR 0.65 (0.46 to 0.92)	15 fewer per 1.000 (from 23 fewer to 3 fewer)		
Sexual fu	unction (assesse	d with: IEEF)										
5	observational studies	not serious	not serious	serious ^a	not serious	none	92	102	-	SMD 0.46 SD higher (0.13 lower to 1.04 higher)	OCO VERY LOW	

Urinary symptoms (assessed with: IPSS)

7	observational studies	not serious	not serious	serious ^a	not serious	none	257	265	-	MD 0.6 fewer (1.17 fewer to 0.03 fowor)	OCO VERY LOW	
										fewer)		

Quality of Life (assessed with: QLQ-C-30)

3	observational studies	not serious	not serious	serious ^a	not serious	none	153	155	-	MD 2.99 higher (2.02 higher to 3.95 higher)	OOO VERY LOW		
---	--------------------------	-------------	-------------	----------------------	-------------	------	-----	-----	---	---	-----------------	--	--

CI: Confidence interval; OR: Odds ratio; SMD: Standardised mean difference; MD: Mean difference

Explanations

a. subjective questionnaire