Question: Should perioperative OSA screening vs. no OSA screening be used for prevention of respiratory complications after bariatric surgery?

Certainty assessment							№ of patients		Effect			
№ of studies	Study design	Risk of bias	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Other considerations	Perioperative OSA screening	No OSA screening	Relative (95% CI)	Absolute (95% CI)	Certainty	Importance
Mortality												
5	observational studies	not serious	not serious	serious	not serious	none	68/34882 (0.2%)	174/60858 (0.3%)	OR 3.39 (0.12 to 92.08)	7 more per 1.000 (from 3 fewer to 206 more)	⊕⊖⊖ VERY LOW	CRITICAL
Pulmona	Pulmonary complications											
7	observational studies	not serious	not serious	serious	not serious	none	1819/67481 (2.7%)	327/116601 (0.3%)	OR 1.85 (0.46 to 7.42)	2 more per 1.000 (from 2 fewer to 18 more)	⊕⊖⊖ VERY LOW	CRITICAL
Pneumo	Pneumonia											
5	observational studies	not serious	not serious	serious	not serious	none	239/34328 (0.7%)	593/60665 (1.0%)	OR 2.51 (0.68 to 9.21)	14 more per 1.000 (from 3 fewer to 74 more)	⊕⊖⊖ VERY LOW	IMPORTANT
Cardiac complications												
4	observational studies	not serious	not serious	serious	not serious	none	80/34196 (0.2%)	77/58690 (0.1%)	OR 1.85 (0.72 to 4.75)	1 more per 1.000 (from 0 fewer to 5 more)	⊕○○○ VERY LOW	CRITICAL

Cardiac complications (assessed with: Atrial fibrillation)

2	observational studies	not serious	not serious	serious	not serious	none	623/33814 (1.8%)	698/58011 (1.2%)	OR 1.51 (1.36 to 1.69)	6 more per 1.000 (from 4 more to 8 more)	⊕○○ VERY LOW	CRITICAL
Length of Stay												
5	observational studies	not serious	not serious	serious	not serious	none	33787	59475	-	MD 0.69 days higher (0.17 lower to 1.57 higher)	⊕○○○ VERY LOW	IMPORTANT
Hypoxen	nia (assessed w	ith: SpO2 (%) day 0,1(mear	ı, SD))								
3	observational studies	not serious	not serious	serious	not serious	none	395	833	-	MD 3.8 % lower (5.38 lower to 2.21 lower)	⊕○○○ VERY LOW	CRITICAL

CI: Confidence interval; OR: Odds ratio; MD: Mean difference