Question: Should RYGB vs. LAGB be used for weight loss in obese patients?

Certainty assessment							№ of patients		Effect		Certainty	Importance
№ of studies	Study design	Risk of bias	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Other considerations	RYGB	LAGB	Relative (95% CI)	Absolute (95% CI)		
EWL (follow up: range 1 years to 5 years; assessed with: %)												
11	randomised trials	serious	not serious	not serious	not serious a	strong association	0	0	-	MD 22 % higher (6.5 higher to 34 higher)	⊕⊕⊕⊕ ніGн	IMPORTANT
2DM (fo	ollow up: range 3	3 months to	5 years)				•				•	
-	randomised trials	serious	not serious	not serious	serious ^b I	none	5/24 (20.8%)	2/23 (8.7%)	RR 1.96 (0.47 to 8.33)		⊕⊕⊖⊝ Low	IMPORTANT

CI: Confidence interval; MD: Mean difference; RR: Risk ratio