## Question: Should OAGB vs. AGB be used for weight loss?

Certainty assessment							№ of patients		Effect			
№ of studies	Study design	Risk of bias	Inconsisten cy	Indirectne ss	Imprecisi on	Other consideratio ns	OAG B	LAG B	Relativ e (95% CI)	Absolu te (95% Cl)	Certain ty	Importance
Post-operat	ive BMI (follo	w up: n	nean 1 years;	assessed wi	ith: kg/m2)							
4		seriou s	very serious	not serious	not serious	publication bias strongly suspected	0/0	0.0 %	<b>MD -</b> <b>6.58</b> (-9.37 to -3.79)	per 1.000 (from - to)	⊕⊖⊖⊖ VERY LOW	
T2DM r	emission (fol	low up:	mean 1 year	s)								• •
2	observational studies			serious	not serious	none	0/0	0.0%	<b>RR</b> <b>1.48</b> (0.98 to 2.25)	0 fewer per 1.000 (from 0 fewer to 0 fewer)	⊕⊖⊖⊖ VERY LOW	
Post-op	perative Wais	t Circu	mference (fol	low up: mea	n 1 years)			1				
3	observational studies	seriou	s very serious	not serious	serious	strong association	0/0	0.0%	<b>MD</b> 14.15 (- 27.23 to - 1.06)	per 1.000 (from - to)	⊕⊖⊖⊖ VERY LOW	

CI: Confidence interval; RR: Risk ratio