Question: Should SADI-S vs. RYGB be used for weight loss?

Certainty assessment							№ of patients		Effect			
№ of studies	Study design	Risk of bias	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Other considerations	SADI-S	RYGB	Relative (95% Cl)	Absolute (95% CI)	Certainty	Importance
Weight lo	oss (follow up:	18 months; a	assessed with: %	6)								
1	observational studies	serious	not serious	not serious	serious	none	SADI-S: 41, 95% CI 39.3 to 42.7; RYGB: 39.6, 95% CI 36.6 to 42.6				⊕⊖⊖⊖ VERY LOW	CRITICAL
orbidity	(follow up: 30	days)	1	I.			1	I	I	I		•
1	observational studies	serious	not serious	not serious	serious	none	9/54 (16.7%)	34/54 (63.0%)	OR 0.12 (0.05 to 0.29)	460 fewer per 1.000 (from 551 fewer to 299 fewer)	⊕⊖⊖⊖ VERY LOW	CRITICAL
ortality	(follow up: 30 d	lays)	1				1	1	1	1		
1	observational studies	serious	not serious	not serious	not serious	none	0/54 (0.0%)	0/54 (0.0%)	RD 0.00 (-0.04 to 0.04)	0 fewer per 1.000 (from 40 fewer to 40 more)	⊕⊖⊖⊖ VERY LOW	CRITICAL

CI: Confidence interval; OR: Odds ratio