Question: Should SADI-S vs. sleeve gastrectomy be used for weight loss?

Certainty assessment							№ of patients		Effect			
№ of studies	Study design	Risk of bias	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Other considerations	SADI-S	sleeve gastrectomy	Relative (95% CI)	Absolute (95% CI)	Certainty	Importance
EWL (follow up: 12 months; assessed with: %)												
1	observational studies	serious	not serious	not serious	serious	none	26	29	-	MD 19.7 higher (8.33 higher to 31.07 higher)	⊕○○ VERY LOW	IMPORTANT
fortality (follow up: 30 days)												
1	observational studies	serious	not serious	not serious	serious	none	0/89 (0.0%)	0/89 (0.0%)	RD 0.00 (-0.02 to 0.02)	O fewer per 1.000 (from 20 fewer to 20 more)	⊕○○○ VERY LOW	CRITICAL
orbidity	(follow up: 30	days)										
1	observational studies	serious	not serious	not serious	serious	none	13/89 (14.6%)	8/89 (9.0%)	OR 1.73 (0.68 to 4.41)	56 more per 1.000 (from 27 fewer to 214 more)	⊕○○○ VERY LOW	CRITICAL
IbA1c (follow up: 12 months; assessed with: %)												
1	observational studies	serious	not serious	not serious	not serious	none	26	26	-	MD 0 (0.38 lower to 0.38 higher)	⊕○○ VERY LOW	IMPORTANT

Hypertension (follow up: 12 months)

1	observational	serious	not serious	not serious	very serious	none	2/26 (7.7%)	4/29 (13.8%)	OR 0.52		A 000	IMPORTANT
	studies	Serious	not serious	Tiot serious	very serious	Tione	2,20 (7.776)	4/29 (13.070)	(0.09 to 3.11)	61 fewer per 1.000 (from 124 fewer to 194	♥○○○ VERY LOW	INI OKTANI
Dyslipider	nia (follow up:	12 months)								more)		
1	observational studies	serious	not serious	not serious	very serious	none	1/26 (3.8%)	6/29 (20.7%)	OR 0.15 (0.02 to 1.37)	169 fewer per 1.000 (from 202 fewer to 56 more)	⊕○○○ VERY LOW	IMPORTANT
SBP (follo	SBP (follow up: 12 months; assessed with: mmHg)											
1	observational studies	serious	not serious	not serious	not serious	none	26	29	-	MD 1 higher (8.25 lower to 10.25 higher)	♥○○○ VERY LOW	IMPORTANT
DBP (follo	w up: 12 month	ns; assessed	with: mmHg)						I			
1	observational studies	serious	not serious	not serious	not serious	none	26	29	-	MD 2.5 higher (4.81 lower to 9.81 higher)	⊕○○ VERY LOW	IMPORTANT
Triglyceric	les (follow up:	12 months; a	ssessed with: 1	ng/dL)							I	
1	observational studies	serious	not serious	not serious	very serious	none	26	29	-	MD 17.1 lower (33.57 lower to 0.63 lower)	⊕○○ VERY LOW	IMPORTANT
HDL (follo	HDL (follow up: 12 months; assessed with: mg/dL)											
1	observational studies	serious	not serious	not serious	not serious	none	26	29	-	MD 1.9 higher (3.95 lower to 7.75 higher)	OC VERY LOW	IMPORTANT

LDL (follow up: 12 months; assessed with: mg/dL)

	•					1						
1	observational studies	serious	not serious	not serious	serious	none	26	29	-	MD 14.4 lower (30.19 lower to 1.39 higher)	⊕○○ VERY LOW	IMPORTANT
Total cholesterol (follow up: 12 months; assessed with: mg/dL)												
1	observational studies	serious	not serious	not serious	not serious	none	26	29	-	MD 11.1 lower (27 lower to 4.8 higher)	⊕○○ VERY LOW	IMPORTANT
Iron (follow up: 12 months; assessed with: ug/dL)												
1	observational studies	serious	not serious	not serious	serious	strong association	26	29	-	MD 34.1 lower (59 lower to 9.2 lower)	⊕○○○ VERY LOW	IMPORTANT
Hemoglob	in (follow up: 1	2 months; as	sessed with: g	/dL)	l		I.		I			
-	•		_									
1	observational studies	serious	not serious	not serious	serious	none	26	29	-	MD 0.9 lower (2.01 lower to 0.21 higher)	⊕○○ VERY LOW	IMPORTANT
Albumin (1	follow up: 12 m	onths; asses	sed with: mg/d	L)	l .	l .		l .	l .		1	l.
1	observational studies	serious	not serious	not serious	not serious	none	26	29	-	MD 0 (0.13 lower to 0.13 higher)	⊕○○○ VERY LOW	IMPORTANT
Calcium (f	Calcium (follow up: 12 months; assessed with: mg/dL)											
1	observational studies	serious	not serious	not serious	very serious	none	26	29	-	MD 0.2 lower (0.39 lower to 0.01 lower)	⊕○○○ VERY LOW	IMPORTANT

CI: Confidence interval; MD: Mean difference; OR: Odds ratio