**Question**: Should AspireAssist® vs. non-surgical management be used for weight loss?

Certainty assessment							№ of patients		Effect			
№ of studies	Study design	Risk of bias	Inconsistency	Indirectness	Imprecision	Other considerations	Aspire Assist	non-surgical management	Relative (95% CI)	Absolute (95% CI)	Certainty	Importance
%EWL (follow up: mean 1 years)												
1	randomised trials	not serious a	not serious	not serious	serious <sup>b</sup>	none	82	31	-	MD <b>21.7</b> % <b>more</b> (13.75 more to 29.65 more)	⊕⊕⊕⊖ MODERATE	CRUCIAAL
WQL (foll	ow up: mean	1 years)						- I		-		
1	randomised trials	not serious	not serious	not serious	serious <sup>c</sup>	none	82	31	-	MD <b>2.9</b> <b>higher</b> (1.66 lower to 7.46 higher)	⊕⊕⊕⊖ MODERATE	BELANGRIJK
mprovem	ent in %HbA1	Lc (follow up:	mean 1 years)								-	
1	randomised trials	not serious		not serious	not serious	none	82	31 -		MD <b>0.14</b> more (0 to 0.28 more)	⊕⊕⊕⊕ HIGH	BELANGRIJK
1orbidity	(follow up: m	iean 1 years)						1				
1	randomised trials	not serious	not serious	not serious	very serious <sup>d</sup>	none	5/82 (6.1%)	0/31 (0.0%)	<b>OR 4.47</b> (0.24 to 83.27)	<b>0 fewer</b> <b>per</b> <b>1.000</b> (from 0 fewer to 0 fewer)	⊕⊕⊖⊖ Low	CRUCIAAL

CI: Confidence interval; MD: Mean difference; OR: Odds ratio